

Colorado Basin Roundtable Grant Evaluation Matrix				Meets?	Weight	Score	Possible Score by Category
1	Water supply						
1a	Meet current or future water needs				1	0	2
1b	Addresses the impacts of Compact deliveries on current or future water in-basin rights				0.5	0	
1c	Addresses conflicts between Endangered Species Act requirements and in-basin needs				0.5	0	
					Subtotal	0	
2	Benefits multiple parties or objectives						
	0				0	0	1.5
	1-2				0.5	0	
	2 or more				1	0	
					Subtotal	0	
3	Water Rights						
3a	Protects Pre-1922 Water Rights				1	0	1.75
3b	Promotes Compact compliance				0.75	0	
					Subtotal	0	
4	Educational (I am not sure how we can expand this so it is not subjective on our part; maybe the supplemental can specify if so, how?)				0.25	0	0.25
5	Water Conservation						
5a	Improves water conservation, retention or delivery infrastructure				1	0	1
6	M&I Efficiency						
6a	Supports municipal uses or efficiency				1	0	1.5
6b	Supports industrial uses or efficiency				0.5	0	
					Subtotal	0	
7	Non-Consumptive						
7a	Improves or provides water-based recreation opportunities				0.5	0	1.5
7b	Supports in-stream and riparian environmental and habitat needs				1	0	
					Subtotal	0	
8	Agriculture						
8a	Maintain commercial, irrigated agriculture				1	0	1.5
8b	Secures a food supply				0.5	0	
					Subtotal	0	
9	Improves Water Quality				1	0	1
10	Economy						
10a	Supports a diverse and sustainable Western Slope economy				1	0	1.5
10b	Demonstrates a favorable Cost:Benefit ratio				0.5	0	
					Subtotal	0	
11	Project match				1	0	1
					Total	0	14.5

Ratio 0.00

8a – weight of 1, 8b weight of .5, the rationale being that Colorado will probably never be self-sufficient in food supply but maintenance of irrigated agriculture has always been an objective of the water planning process.

Agric.

Weight of 1 if the project can produce a finding from CDPHE that it will benefit water quality. I'm not sure what the implications of that might be as far as how much bureaucracy would be involved in getting such a ruling, but at least it would take this out of the subjective realm somewhat.

WQ

Economy Not all beneficial projects will show a good C/B ratio and we don't want to penalize them too heavily for that