ASSOCIATED STUDENT GOVERNMENT

Biennial Feedback

Weekly Feedback Packet

September 29, 2026 | Hearing Week 1

ASG Biennial Funding Evaluation | School Year 2026-2027

Organizations included

4 organizations | 36 reviewer forms | 80 packet pages

ORGANIZATION	PACKET PAGES
MavMedia	2-21
Outdoor Program	22-41
CMU Bands	42-61
Associated Student Government	62-80

Organizations follow the order heard at this meeting.
Use the page guide or bookmarks to find an organization or reviewer.
Original feedback form pages are preserved in full.

ASSOCIATED STUDENT GOVERNMENT

MavMedia

Feedback Forms

September 29, 2026 | Hearing Week 1

ASG Biennial Funding Evaluation | School Year 2026-2027

Forms included

9 reviewer forms | Packet pages 2-21

REVIEWER	PACKET PAGES
Jamal Bragg	3-4
Jess Clark-Scott	5-6
Zaira Garcia	7-8
Juli Holt	9-11
Cory Livengood	12-13
Andre Martinez	14-15
Triston Rogers	16-17
James Ruehmann	18-19
House Speaker Jayden Alonzo-Estrada	20-21

-Official Record-

ASG Biennial Funding Evaluation
School Year 2026–2027

Organization: Mav Media Date: 09-29-2026

Evaluator: ___Jamal Bragg_____

Instructions: Score the organization on each criterion on a 0–5 scale, with 0 indicating that the organization does not meet the established standards and 5 indicating that the organization fully meets or exceeds the established standards.

Evaluation Criterion	Weight (%)	Score (0–5)	Weighted Score
Previous usage of funds	20% (x4)	2	8
The effectiveness of programming or activities	30% (x6)	2	12
Justification of future budgeted funds	30% (x6)	2	12
Ability to uphold the values and policies of Colorado Mesa University	15% (x3)	4	12
Representation of Colorado Mesa University in a positive manner	5% (x1)	4	4
TOTAL	**100%**	—	**__48__ / 100**

Evaluation Criterion	Comments
Previous usage of funds	Seemed fine but they had some things lacking.
The effectiveness of programming or activities	Mediocre
Justification of future budgeted funds	Everything other than wowza and potentially other student stipends was justified
Ability to uphold the values and policies of Colorado Mesa University	Good
Representation of Colorado Mesa University in a positive manner	Great

Note: *Completed rubrics are official records and shall be retained within the minutes of the corresponding Budget Proposal meeting.*

_____ _____

DATE ***House Representative***

-Official Record-

ASG Biennial Funding Evaluation
School Year 2026–2027

Organization: Mav Media Date: 9/29/26

Evaluator: Jess J. Clark-Scott. House Rep-at-Large

Instructions: Score the organization on each criterion on a 0–5 scale, with 0 indicating that the organization does not meet the established standards and 5 indicating that the organization fully meets or exceeds the established standards.

Evaluation Criterion	Weight (%)	Score (0–5)	Weighted Score
Previous usage of funds	20% (x4)	0	0
The effectiveness of programming or activities	30% (x6)	0	0
Justification of future budgeted funds	30% (x6)	0	0
Ability to uphold the values and policies of Colorado Mesa University	15% (x3)	5	15
Representation of Colorado Mesa University in a positive manner	5% (x1)	5	5
TOTAL	**100%**	8	**20/100**

Evaluation Criterion	Comments
Previous usage of funds	0. Lacks consistent data on previous usage of funds. KMSA was a total disaster, and waste of student funding so outright incorporating the entity into Mav Media was a mistake in my view.
The effectiveness of programming or activities	0. No data provided for how much of a reach/impact this organization has on the student body aside from rough estimates on Instagram views.
Justification of future budgeted funds	0. Framing the proposed budget as a decrease is an outright misrepresentation of the situation. Excluding KMSA's budget (due to the organization being completely shut down), the budget increase is substantial. Student stipend payments have exploded; no real justification given.
Ability to uphold the values and policies of Colorado Mesa University	5. This category needs to be removed. The values and policies of CMU are so vast and far reaching that it's almost impossible for an organization to loss points here.
Representation of Colorado Mesa University in a positive manner	5. This category needs to be removed. Who determines what represents CMU in a positive manner? This gives too much leeway to evaluators, both this category and the previous category should be removed.

Note: *Completed rubrics are official records and shall be retained within the minutes of the corresponding Budget Proposal meeting.*

9/29/26
DATE

Jess J. Clark-Scott
House Representative

-Official Record-

ASG Biennial Funding Evaluation

School Year 2026–2027

Organization: Mav Media Date: 9/29/2026

Evaluator: Zaira Garcia

Instructions: Score the organization on each criterion on a 0–5 scale, with 0 indicating that the organization does not meet the established standards and 5 indicating that the organization fully meets or exceeds the established standards.

Evaluation Criterion	Weight (%)	Score (0–5)	Weighted Score
Previous usage of funds	20% (x4)	3	12
The effectiveness of programming or activities	30% (x6)	3	18
Justification of future budgeted funds	30% (x6)	1	6
Ability to uphold the values and policies of Colorado Mesa University	15% (x3)	3	9
Representation of Colorado Mesa University in a positive manner	5% (x1)	4	4
TOTAL	**100%**	—	**49 / 100**

Evaluation Criterion	Comments
Previous usage of funds	Previous spending is based on KMSA and CMU TV. The presenter explained that KMSA and CMU TV were essentially merging into Mav

Evaluation Criterion	Comments
	Media resulting in the need for additional funds however the presenter also said that Mav Media would not continue to do all of the same things that the two organizations did and the programing operations would also change.
The effectiveness of programming or activities	Students are getting hands on learning opportunities through media production however with the merge of the orgs It was difficult to understand what learning opportunities you would offer going forward and how those opportunities will benefit the overall student body.
Justification of future budgeted funds	I don't think the Proposed budget is justified. There could be a lot of efficient ways to reduce costs. Looking into more affordable platforms than Wowza is a great way to start. Trying to generate income from media production is also another way to gain revenue.
Ability to uphold the values and policies of Colorado Mesa University	You are providing students with hands on learning opportunities, leadership, and professional experience through media production.
Representation of Colorado Mesa University in a positive manner	Represents CMU through Student produced media this program gives students opportunities to represent the University and Share CMU content to a broader audience.

Note: *Completed rubrics are official records and shall be retained within the minutes of the corresponding Budget Proposal meeting.*

9/29/26
DATE

Zaria Graham Jones
House Representative

-Official Record-

ASG Biennial Funding Evaluation

School Year 2026–2027

Organization: Mav Media Date: 9/29/26

Evaluator: Juli Holt

Instructions: Score the organization on each criterion on a 0–5 scale, with 0 indicating that the organization does not meet the established standards and 5 indicating that the organization fully meets or exceeds the established standards.

Evaluation Criterion	Weight (%)	Score (0–5)	Weighted Score
Previous usage of funds	20% (x4)	3	12
The effectiveness of programming or activities	30% (x6)	4	24
Justification of future budgeted funds	30% (x6)	2	12
Ability to uphold the values and policies of Colorado Mesa University	15% (x3)	5	15
Representation of Colorado Mesa University in a positive manner	5% (x1)	4	4
TOTAL	**100%**	18	**67 / 100**

Evaluation Criterion	Comments
Previous usage of funds	Overall, 0.21 cent change increase in student fees. They claimed 16% overall reduction from CMU TV+KMSA budget→ Mav Media.
The effectiveness of programming or activities	$10,000 for streaming Wowza subscription- main platform for mavmedia Activities include CMU club sports coverage, livestreams
Justification of future budgeted funds	Justification for more than $10/hr is due to the fact that they work up to 20-25hrs/wk doing livestreams, sports highlights, set up. Audio content director puts in many hours as well.
Ability to uphold the values and policies of Colorado Mesa University	No instances of not being able to uphold values and policies of CMU
Representation of Colorado Mesa University in a positive manner	Using streaming platform not Youtube due to guidelines and rules set. Want to have a platform to freely speak and open while upholding CMU in positive manner?

Note: *Completed rubrics are official records and shall be retained within the minutes of the corresponding Budget Proposal meeting.*

10/2/2026
DATE

He
House Representative

Personal notes during presentation:

- Streaming platform, podcasts, broadcasting, etc
- Hands-on experience!
- 23 people attending first meeting and returned
- CMU TV- 42,380; KMSA- 60,065
- Mav Media- 86,020 16% reduction
- 53.5% going to students
- Awards to recognize staff and volunteers
- More than $10/hour→ they said that they work double the time (20-25) hrs per week not 10
- Using Wowza Streaming Platform (costs 10,000+) not youtube due to guidelines and rules set→ want to be free to open creativity and speech

-Official Record-

ASG Biennial Funding Evaluation

School Year 2026–2027

Organization: _____MAV Media_____ Date: ____10/02/2026_____

Evaluator: _____Livengood_____

Instructions: Score the organization on each criterion on a 0–5 scale, with 0 indicating that the organization does not meet the established standards and 5 indicating that the organization fully meets or exceeds the established standards.

Evaluation Criterion	Weight (%)	Score (0–5)	Weighted Score
Previous usage of funds	20% (x4)	2	8
The effectiveness of programming or activities	30% (x6)	3	18
Justification of future budgeted funds	30% (x6)	0	0
Ability to uphold the values and policies of Colorado Mesa University	15% (x3)	3	9
Representation of Colorado Mesa University in a positive manner	5% (x1)	3	3
TOTAL	**100%**	**—**	**_38__ / 100**

Evaluation Criterion	Comments
Previous usage of funds	I believe the funds were allocated effectively since one of the departments that they’re trying to merge with another, was shut

Evaluation Criterion	Comments
	Down.
The effectiveness of programming or activities	The effective is of the program can be obtained without additional funding. The resources from two departments coming together is more than enough in my mind to run this program effectively.
Justification of future budgeted funds	I don't feel like the justification for future funds is there during their conversation with us this week. All I heard was backhanded comments, belittling comments and justification for funds based on the fact that they wanted to merge two departments together because one was getting shut down. In my mind, none of that justifies future increase in funds.
Ability to uphold the values and policies of Colorado Mesa University	The ability of told values and policies of color university may be there in good faith, but I don't feel overall that the team that came and talked to us this week, necessarily uphold all the values that we are trying to instill in the university due to the fact that I felt that about halfway through the conversation, the conversation turned to more pointed indications that we didn't know what we were talking about so we should just give them funding because they know and we don't.
Representation of Colorado Mesa University in a positive manner	Representation of Colorado University in a positive manner was not seen by me in the meeting on Tuesday. There was a lot of confusion on their part lot of aggressive tones and commentary towards the Representative body as a whole when questions were asked that push back on their narrative.

Note: *Completed rubrics are official records and shall be retained within the minutes of the corresponding Budget Proposal meeting.*

_____10/02/2026_____ _____Livengood_____

DATE ***House Representative***

-Official Record-

ASG Biennial Funding Evaluation

School Year 2026–2027

Organization: MavMedia Date: 9/29/26

Evaluator: Rep. Andre Martinez – Student Safety & Mental Health

Instructions: Score the organization on each criterion on a 0–5 scale, with 0 indicating that the organization does not meet the established standards and 5 indicating that the organization fully meets or exceeds the established standards.

Evaluation Criterion	Weight (%)	Score (0–5)	Weighted Score
Previous usage of funds	20% (x4)	3	12
The effectiveness of programming or activities	30% (x6)	3	18
Justification of future budgeted funds	30% (x6)	3.5	21
Ability to uphold the values and policies of Colorado Mesa University	15% (x3)	4	13
Representation of Colorado Mesa University in a positive manner	5% (x1)	4	4
TOTAL	**100%**	—	**68 / 100**

Evaluation Criterion	Comments
Previous usage of funds	Not able to judge with full information.
The effectiveness of programming or activities	Not measurable due to no metrics.
Justification of future budgeted funds	Justification lacked for the major number of $18,000 in regard to student stipends, was able to justify non-capital expenditure. I do have questions on the $10,170 dues and memberships and would appreciate a detailed breakdown of this number. Would also like additional information on the selection process of Wazzee, and the standards they utilize to keep their content in accordance with CMU image. Also, may need clarification on whether the website is launched already or not.
Ability to uphold the values and policies of Colorado Mesa University	It appears the organization has numerous internal checks to ensure content is reflective of CMU values, would just appreciate more information on such.
Representation of Colorado Mesa University in a positive manner	Focus on preserving student legacies and ensuring that the multiple generations of tradition,

Note: *Completed rubrics are official records and shall be retained within the minutes of the corresponding Budget Proposal meeting.*

PLEASE CONTACT ME AT amartinez@mavs.coloradomesa.edu

Tuesday, September 29, 2026

DATE

ANDRE MARTINEZ

House Representative

-Official Record-

ASG Biennial Funding Evaluation

School Year 2026–2027

Organization: Mav Media Date: 09/29/2026

Evaluator: Triston Rogers

Instructions: Score the organization on each criterion on a 0–5 scale, with 0 indicating that the organization does not meet the established standards and 5 indicating that the organization fully meets or exceeds the established standards.

Evaluation Criterion	Weight (%)	Score (0–5)	Weighted Score
Previous usage of funds	20% (x4)	2	8
The effectiveness of programming or activities	30% (x6)	0	0
Justification of future budgeted funds	30% (x6)	0	0
Ability to uphold the values and policies of Colorado Mesa University	15% (x3)	0	0
Representation of Colorado Mesa University in a positive manner	5% (x1)	0	0
TOTAL	**100%**	2	**8/ 100**

Evaluation Criterion	Comments
Previous usage of funds	
The effectiveness of programming or activities	
Justification of future budgeted funds	
Ability to uphold the values and policies of Colorado Mesa University	
Representation of Colorado Mesa University in a positive manner	

Note: *Completed rubrics are official records and shall be retained within the minutes of the corresponding Budget Proposal meeting.*

09/29/2026
DATE

Triston Rogers
House Representative

-Official Record-

ASG Biennial Funding Evaluation

School Year 2026–2027

Organization: ____Mav Media_____ Date: ____9/29__

Evaluator: _____Rep James Ruehmann_____

Instructions: Score the organization on each criterion on a 0–5 scale, with 0 indicating that the organization does not meet the established standards and 5 indicating that the organization fully meets or exceeds the established standards.

Evaluation Criterion	Weight (%)	Score (0–5)	Weighted Score
Previous usage of funds	20% (x4)	0	
The effectiveness of programming or activities	30% (x6)	0	
Justification of future budgeted funds	30% (x6)	0	
Ability to uphold the values and policies of Colorado Mesa University	15% (x3)	5	15%
Representation of Colorado Mesa University in a positive manner	5% (x1)	5	5%
TOTAL	**100%**	10	**_20_ / 100**

Evaluation Criterion	Comments
Previous usage of funds	I have no comments on this. Not enough data and did not give us info prior.
The effectiveness of programming or activities	Did not provide metrics on viewership? Socials only get 14-16k views. Cost is not worth the ROI 10k for a streaming service? Totally nuts.
Justification of future budgeted funds	Not impressed- could not answer or handle pushback on wowza contract, staff pay increases.
Ability to uphold the values and policies of Colorado Mesa University	10/10. They do well. Very impressed with the interview of Gov Polis earlier this semester
Representation of Colorado Mesa University in a positive manner	10. See above

Note: *Completed rubrics are official records and shall be retained within the minutes of the corresponding Budget Proposal meeting.*

_____9/29/2026_____ _____ _____

DATE ***House Representative***

-Official Record-

ASG Biennial Funding Evaluation
School Year 2026–2027

Organization: MavMedia Date: Oct. 5, 2026

Evaluator: Jayden Alonzo-Estrada

Instructions: Score the organization on each criterion on a 0–5 scale, with 0 indicating that the organization does not meet the established standards and 5 indicating that the organization fully meets or exceeds the established standards.

Evaluation Criterion	Weight (%)	Score (0–5)	Weighted Score
Previous usage of funds	20% (x4)	2	8
The effectiveness of programming or activities	30% (x6)	5	30
Justification of future budgeted funds	30% (x6)	2	12
Ability to uphold the values and policies of Colorado Mesa University	15% (x3)	5	15
Representation of Colorado Mesa University in a positive manner	5% (x1)	4	4
TOTAL	**100%**	18	**69 / 100**

Evaluation Criterion	Comments
Previous usage of funds	Due to a lack of previous documentation.
The effectiveness of programming or activities	I think getting these opportunities to students are a noble and effective way to teach students.
Justification of future budgeted funds	I'd like to see an in-depth explanation of why the equipment costs so much. An example of something I was questioning are the hard-drives' costs. 2k for a 6TB drive casing is very steep. This 16TB drive is just $700.
Ability to uphold the values and policies of Colorado Mesa University	Speech and expression are the basis of this organization.
Representation of Colorado Mesa University in a positive manner	I'd like to see wider dissemination of content throughout campus, the community, and the world at large.

Note: *Completed rubrics are official records and shall be retained within the minutes of the corresponding Budget Proposal meeting.*

Oct. 5, 2026
DATE

Jayden Alonzo-Estrada
House Representative

ASSOCIATED STUDENT GOVERNMENT

Outdoor Program

Feedback Forms

September 29, 2026 | Hearing Week 1

ASG Biennial Funding Evaluation | School Year 2026-2027

Forms included

9 reviewer forms | Packet pages 22-41

REVIEWER	PACKET PAGES
Jamal Bragg	23-24
Jess Clark-Scott	25-26
Zaira Garcia	27-28
Juli Holt	29-31
Cory Livengood	32-33
Andre Martinez	34-35
Triston Rogers	36-37
James Ruehmann	38-39
House Speaker Jayden Alonzo-Estrada	40-41

-Official Record-

ASG Biennial Funding Evaluation
School Year 2026–2027

Organization: Outdoor Program Date: 09-29-2026

Evaluator: Jamal Bragg

Instructions: Score the organization on each criterion on a 0–5 scale, with 0 indicating that the organization does not meet the established standards and 5 indicating that the organization fully meets or exceeds the established standards.

Evaluation Criterion	Weight (%)	Score (0–5)	Weighted Score
Previous usage of funds	20% (x4)	5	20
The effectiveness of programming or activities	30% (x6)	5	30
Justification of future budgeted funds	30% (x6)	5	30
Ability to uphold the values and policies of Colorado Mesa University	15% (x3)	5	15
Representation of Colorado Mesa University in a positive manner	5% (x1)	5	5
TOTAL	**100%**	—	**__100__ / 100**

Evaluation Criterion	Comments
Previous usage of funds	Used it wisely and also had a profit
The effectiveness of programming or activities	Great
Justification of future budgeted funds	Everything was justified
Ability to uphold the values and policies of Colorado Mesa University	perfect
Representation of Colorado Mesa University in a positive manner	Probably the best org to rep CMU

Note: *Completed rubrics are official records and shall be retained within the minutes of the corresponding Budget Proposal meeting.*

_____ _____

DATE ***House Representative***

-Official Record-

ASG Biennial Funding Evaluation
School Year 2026–2027

Organization: Outdoor Program Date: 9/29/26

Evaluator: Jess J. Clark-Scott. House Rep-at-Large

Instructions: Score the organization on each criterion on a 0–5 scale, with 0 indicating that the organization does not meet the established standards and 5 indicating that the organization fully meets or exceeds the established standards.

Evaluation Criterion	Weight (%)	Score (0–5)	Weighted Score
Previous usage of funds	20% (x4)	3	12
The effectiveness of programming or activities	30% (x6)	5	30
Justification of future budgeted funds	30% (x6)	5	30
Ability to uphold the values and policies of Colorado Mesa University	15% (x3)	5	15
Representation of Colorado Mesa University in a positive manner	5% (x1)	5	5
TOTAL	**100%**	23	**92/ 100**

Evaluation Criterion	Comments
Previous usage of funds	3. The only reason I haven't given full 5/5 is due to the poor overall budget management; they seem to always run into the red. From 12k to 62k, not going in the right direction.
The effectiveness of programming or activities	5. Self-explanatory. Increasing number of students using service.
Justification of future budgeted funds	5. Increase in tariffs, general inflation, and other factors justify the increased budget, however, there are some areas for cuts. Slight cuts.
Ability to uphold the values and policies of Colorado Mesa University	5. Self-explanatory.
Representation of Colorado Mesa University in a positive manner	5. Self-explanatory.

Note: *Completed rubrics are official records and shall be retained within the minutes of the corresponding Budget Proposal meeting.*

9/29/26
DATE

Jess J. Clark-Scott
House Representative

-Official Record-

ASG Biennial Funding Evaluation

School Year 2026–2027

Organization: Outdoor Program Date: 9/29/26

Evaluator: Zaira Garcia

Instructions: Score the organization on each criterion on a 0–5 scale, with 0 indicating that the organization does not meet the established standards and 5 indicating that the organization fully meets or exceeds the established standards.

Evaluation Criterion	Weight (%)	Score (0–5)	Weighted Score
Previous usage of funds	20% (x4)	4	16
The effectiveness of programming or activities	30% (x6)	5	30
Justification of future budgeted funds	30% (x6)	4	24
Ability to uphold the values and policies of Colorado Mesa University	15% (x3)	5	15
Representation of Colorado Mesa University in a positive manner	5% (x1)	5	5
TOTAL	**100%**	—	**90 / 100**

Evaluation Criterion	Comments
Previous usage of funds	Clear breakdown of funding usage Generate revenue through

Evaluation Criterion	Comments
	equipment rentals and other services. They explained future changes in spending, and they are reducing their supplies budget to better reflect their actual usage of budget funds.
The effectiveness of programming or activities	The Outdoor program provides opportunities for all students to participate in outdoor activities. The Op provides free bike repairs for students, leadership, development, and certifications. They also go on about 52 trips per year.
Justification of future budgeted funds	Gave clear explanations for requested increases. You also mentioned Reducing funding in other areas.
Ability to uphold the values and policies of Colorado Mesa University	The OP provides leadership, development, certification, training and employment, and opportunities for students to get involved.
Representation of Colorado Mesa University in a positive manner	The OP has positively represented CMU on and off campus through community partnerships promoting CMU to the local community.

Note: *Completed rubrics are official records and shall be retained within the minutes of the corresponding Budget Proposal meeting.*

9/29/26
DATE

Zane Gomez Torres
House Representative

-Official Record-

ASG Biennial Funding Evaluation

School Year 2026–2027

Organization: Outdoor Program Date: 9/29/26

Evaluator: Juli Holt

Instructions: Score the organization on each criterion on a 0–5 scale, with 0 indicating that the organization does not meet the established standards and 5 indicating that the organization fully meets or exceeds the established standards.

Evaluation Criterion	Weight (%)	Score (0–5)	Weighted Score
Previous usage of funds	20% (x4)	3	12
The effectiveness of programming or activities	30% (x6)	5	30
Justification of future budgeted funds	30% (x6)	5	30
Ability to uphold the values and policies of Colorado Mesa University	15% (x3)	5	15
Representation of Colorado Mesa University in a positive manner	5% (x1)	5	5
TOTAL	**100%**	23	**92/ 100**

Evaluation Criterion	Comments
Previous usage of funds	Acknowledged previous over/under revenues and how they have learned, have proposed many reductions to reflect real world usage

Evaluation Criterion	Comments
	and also have accounted for increases due to tariffs and general economic impacts
The effectiveness of programming or activities	Very low-to-no cost resources offered as well as beneficial courses
Justification of future budgeted funds	Could be justified increases due to dangerous and important work, being undercompensated for hours/workload?
Ability to uphold the values and policies of Colorado Mesa University	Upholds the values and policies of CMU, very well organized and beneficial to the student body as well as general public
Representation of Colorado Mesa University in a positive manner	Represents CMU in a very positive manner, have only heard good things about the program and the work they do

Note: *Completed rubrics are official records and shall be retained within the minutes of the corresponding Budget Proposal meeting.*

10/2/2026
DATE

li
House Representative

Personal Notes during presentation:
52 Trips a year
Full-service rental shop

Offer courses
Low to no cost programming
15 full scholarships + Bikes repaired at no cost= fundraised for
Marketing for CMU
Previous usage of funds: student fee 64% (equipment rentals declined due to poor winter and river seasons); 8% increase in expenditure (able to reduce supplies and equipment costs to be more effective)
Total reduction of $13,500 (8%) in expected non-fee income
Student employees paid hourly and stipend
Decrease supplies to reflect real usage
Increase of 20% in equipment due to economic climate (tariffs)
Increase for marketing???--> external, paying for ads and merch to go out to the public

-Official Record-

ASG Biennial Funding Evaluation

School Year 2026–2027

Organization: _____Outdoor Program_____ Date: ____10/02/2026_____

Evaluator: _____Livengood_____

Instructions: Score the organization on each criterion on a 0–5 scale, with 0 indicating that the organization does not meet the established standards and 5 indicating that the organization fully meets or exceeds the established standards.

Evaluation Criterion	Weight (%)	Score (0–5)	Weighted Score
Previous usage of funds	20% (x4)	4	16
The effectiveness of programming or activities	30% (x6)	5	30
Justification of future budgeted funds	30% (x6)	4	24
Ability to uphold the values and policies of Colorado Mesa University	15% (x3)	4	12
Representation of Colorado Mesa University in a positive manner	5% (x1)	4	4
TOTAL	**100%**	—	**_86__ / 100**

Out

Evaluation Criterion	Comments
Previous usage of funds	
The effectiveness of programming or activities	I feel the affecting this of the outdoor program is why a lot of students come to Colorado university in the first place, So keeping that in place for the students and the public alike is very beneficial and advantageous to the Community .
Justification of future budgeted funds	
Ability to uphold the values and policies of Colorado Mesa University	.
Representation of Colorado Mesa University in a positive manner	

Note: *Completed rubrics are official records and shall be retained within the minutes of the corresponding Budget Proposal meeting.*

_____10/02/2026_____ _____Livengood_____

__

DATE ***House Representative***

-Official Record-

ASG Biennial Funding Evaluation

School Year 2026–2027

Organization: Outdoor Program Date: 9/29/26

Evaluator: Rep. Andre Martinez – Student Safety & Mental Health

Instructions: Score the organization on each criterion on a 0–5 scale, with 0 indicating that the organization does not meet the established standards and 5 indicating that the organization fully meets or exceeds the established standards.

Evaluation Criterion	Weight (%)	Score (0–5)	Weighted Score
Previous usage of funds	20% (x4)	3	12
The effectiveness of programming or activities	30% (x6)	5	30
Justification of future budgeted funds	30% (x6)	5	30
Ability to uphold the values and policies of Colorado Mesa University	15% (x3)	5	15
Representation of Colorado Mesa University in a positive manner	5% (x1)	5	5
TOTAL	**100%**	—	**92 / 100**

Evaluation Criterion	Comments
Previous usage of funds	Appears to be used appropriately and good to ensure that student fees are utilized appropriately, only concern is the over usage of funds in 2025/2026, that is a concern to me. Organization was able to provide proper justification and reasoning as to why they went over budget, I just am concerned about budgeting of the organization.
The effectiveness of programming or activities	It speaks for itself, no concerns.
Justification of future budgeted funds	They were able to justify their budget utilizing real-world, modern scenarios.
Ability to uphold the values and policies of Colorado Mesa University	The organization is a hallmark of what our values are and is conductive to student life.
Representation of Colorado Mesa University in a positive manner	The outdoor program upkeeps the values and represents CMU in a positive manner and engages students greatly.

Note: *Completed rubrics are official records and shall be retained within the minutes of the corresponding Budget Proposal meeting.*

FEEL FREE TO CONTACT ME AT amartinez@mavs.coloradomesa.edu

Tuesday, September 29, 2026

DATE

ANDRE MARTINEZ

House Representative

-Official Record-

ASG Biennial Funding Evaluation

School Year 2026–2027

Organization: Outdoor Program Date: 09/29/2026

Evaluator: Triston Rogers

Instructions: Score the organization on each criterion on a 0–5 scale, with 0 indicating that the organization does not meet the established standards and 5 indicating that the organization fully meets or exceeds the established standards.

Evaluation Criterion	Weight (%)	Score (0–5)	Weighted Score
Previous usage of funds	20% (x4)	3	12
The effectiveness of programming or activities	30% (x6)	5	30
Justification of future budgeted funds	30% (x6)	5	30
Ability to uphold the values and policies of Colorado Mesa University	15% (x3)	5	15
Representation of Colorado Mesa University in a positive manner	5% (x1)	5	5
TOTAL	**100%**	23	**92 / 100**

Evaluation Criterion	Comments
Previous usage of funds	
The effectiveness of programming or activities	
Justification of future budgeted funds	
Ability to uphold the values and policies of Colorado Mesa University	
Representation of Colorado Mesa University in a positive manner	

Note: *Completed rubrics are official records and shall be retained within the minutes of the corresponding Budget Proposal meeting.*

9/29/2026 Triston Rogers

DATE ***House Representative***

-Official Record-

-Official Record-

ASG Biennial Funding Evaluation

School Year 2026–2027

Organization: _____Outdoor program____ Date: ___9/29/26__


Evaluator: ____Rep. James Ruehmann_____

Instructions: Score the organization on each criterion on a 0–5 scale, with 0 indicating that the organization does not meet the established standards and 5 indicating that the organization fully meets or exceeds the established standards.

Evaluation Criterion	Weight (%)	Score (0–5)	Weighted Score
Previous usage of funds	20% (x4)	3	12
The effectiveness of programming or activities	30% (x6)	5	30
Justification of future budgeted funds	30% (x6)	5	30
Ability to uphold the values and policies of Colorado Mesa University	15% (x3)	5	15
Representation of Colorado Mesa University in a positive manner	5% (x1)	5	15
TOTAL	**100%**	23	**92_ / 100**

Evaluation Criterion	Comments
Previous usage of funds	Went overbudget, I expect that will not continue, but justifies fat to be trimmed.
The effectiveness of programming or activities	200% increase in participation from fy24 to fy25 is great
Justification of future budgeted funds	Expecting an increase in participation is a great metric
Ability to uphold the values and policies of Colorado Mesa University	Cmu is in western co, giving students the opportunity to experience outdoor activities in our region is a huge recruitment driver for admissions. It could be argued their work is central to CMU's image.
Representation of Colorado Mesa University in a positive manner	No comments

Note: *Completed rubrics are official records and shall be retained within the minutes of the corresponding Budget Proposal meeting.*



_____9/29/26_____

__

DATE

_____

House Representative

-Official Record-

ASG Biennial Funding Evaluation
School Year 2026–2027

Organization: Outdoor Program Date: Oct. 5, 2026

Evaluator: Jayden Alonzo-Estrada

Instructions: Score the organization on each criterion on a 0–5 scale, with 0 indicating that the organization does not meet the established standards and 5 indicating that the organization fully meets or exceeds the established standards.

Evaluation Criterion	Weight (%)	Score (0–5)	Weighted Score
Previous usage of funds	20% (x4)	4	12
The effectiveness of programming or activities	30% (x6)	5	30
Justification of future budgeted funds	30% (x6)	5	30
Ability to uphold the values and policies of Colorado Mesa University	15% (x3)	5	15
Representation of Colorado Mesa University in a positive manner	5% (x1)	5	5
TOTAL	**100%**	24	**96 / 100**

Evaluation Criterion	Comments
Previous usage of funds	Due to a lack of previous documentation.
The effectiveness of programming or activities	The OP offers unique, quality experiences for students and community members alike.
Justification of future budgeted funds	The increase in budget is in-line with the growing costs of operation.
Ability to uphold the values and policies of Colorado Mesa University	The OP embodies CMU values.
Representation of Colorado Mesa University in a positive manner	The OP is a standard in and outside of campus.

Note: *Completed rubrics are official records and shall be retained within the minutes of the corresponding Budget Proposal meeting.*

Oct. 5, 2026
DATE

Jayden Alonzo-Estrada
House Representative

ASSOCIATED STUDENT GOVERNMENT

CMU Bands

Feedback Forms

September 29, 2026 | Hearing Week 1

ASG Biennial Funding Evaluation | School Year 2026-2027

Forms included

9 reviewer forms | Packet pages 42-61

REVIEWER	PACKET PAGES
Jamal Bragg	43-44
Jess Clark-Scott	45-46
Zaira Garcia	47-48
Juli Holt	49-51
Cory Livengood	52-53
Andre Martinez	54-55
Triston Rogers	56-57
James Ruehmann	58-59
House Speaker Jayden Alonzo-Estrada	60-61

-Official Record-

ASG Biennial Funding Evaluation
School Year 2026–2027

Organization: _____CMU Bands_____ Date: _____09-29-26_____

Evaluator: _____Jamal Bragg_____

Instructions: Score the organization on each criterion on a 0–5 scale, with 0 indicating that the organization does not meet the established standards and 5 indicating that the organization fully meets or exceeds the established standards.

Evaluation Criterion	Weight (%)	Score (0–5)	Weighted Score
Previous usage of funds	20% (x4)	4	16
The effectiveness of programming or activities	30% (x6)	3	18
Justification of future budgeted funds	30% (x6)	3	18
Ability to uphold the values and policies of Colorado Mesa University	15% (x3)	4	12
Representation of Colorado Mesa University in a positive manner	5% (x1)	4	4
TOTAL	**100%**	—	**__68__ / 100**

Evaluation Criterion	Comments
Previous usage of funds	Good
The effectiveness of programming or activities	Great
Justification of future budgeted funds	Pretty good, only issue is the stipends they want
Ability to uphold the values and policies of Colorado Mesa University	Great, always repping CMU
Representation of Colorado Mesa University in a positive manner	People love to see the band

Note: *Completed rubrics are official records and shall be retained within the minutes of the corresponding Budget Proposal meeting.*

_____ _____

DATE ***House Representative***

-Official Record-

ASG Biennial Funding Evaluation
School Year 2026–2027

Organization: CMU Bands Date: 9/29/26

Evaluator: Jess J. Clark-Scott. House Rep-at-Large

Instructions: Score the organization on each criterion on a 0–5 scale, with 0 indicating that the organization does not meet the established standards and 5 indicating that the organization fully meets or exceeds the established standards.

Evaluation Criterion	Weight (%)	Score (0–5)	Weighted Score
Previous usage of funds	20% (x4)	2	8
The effectiveness of programming or activities	30% (x6)	3	18
Justification of future budgeted funds	30% (x6)	2	12
Ability to uphold the values and policies of Colorado Mesa University	15% (x3)	5	15
Representation of Colorado Mesa University in a positive manner	5% (x1)	5	5
TOTAL	**100%**	17	**58/ 100**

Evaluation Criterion	Comments
Previous usage of funds	2. Student stipends in addition to scholarships don't sit well with me.
The effectiveness of programming or activities	3. Numbers are there. Skeptical of how much of an impact this organization has on the 11,000 strong student body.
Justification of future budgeted funds	2. Not well thought out in my view. Shifting around between scholarships and stipends is confusing and not benefitial to the student body.
Ability to uphold the values and policies of Colorado Mesa University	5. Self-explanatory.
Representation of Colorado Mesa University in a positive manner	5. Self-explanatory.

Note: *Completed rubrics are official records and shall be retained within the minutes of the corresponding Budget Proposal meeting.*

9/29/26
DATE

Jess J. Clark-Scott
House Representative

-Official Record-

ASG Biennial Funding Evaluation

School Year 2026–2027

Organization: CMU Bands Date: 9/29/2026

Evaluator: Zaira Garcia

Instructions: Score the organization on each criterion on a 0–5 scale, with 0 indicating that the organization does not meet the established standards and 5 indicating that the organization fully meets or exceeds the established standards.

Evaluation Criterion	Weight (%)	Score (0–5)	Weighted Score
Previous usage of funds	20% (x4)	4	16
The effectiveness of programming or activities	30% (x6)	5	30
Justification of future budgeted funds	30% (x6)	4	24
Ability to uphold the values and policies of Colorado Mesa University	15% (x3)	5	15
Representation of Colorado Mesa University in a positive manner	5% (x1)	5	5
TOTAL	**100%**	**—**	**90 / 100**

Evaluation Criterion	Comments
Previous usage of funds	For the most part after looking at previous spending, CMU Bands has generally used most of its funding.
The effectiveness of programming or activities	The CMU Bands program has received recognition across Colorado. I think the effectiveness of their program reaches a substantial number of students. The Roudy brass band performs approximately 35 times per year.
Justification of future budgeted funds	You provided a clear justification for use of future funds as students dedicate a lot of time to the band.
Ability to uphold the values and policies of Colorado Mesa University	The Bands uphold CMU's values through leadership, performance, and educational opportunities.
Representation of Colorado Mesa University in a positive manner	The CMU Bands proudly represent Colorado Mesa University across Colorado in a wide range of performances and High School Tours reaching students across Colorado.

Note: *Completed rubrics are official records and shall be retained within the minutes of the corresponding Budget Proposal meeting.*

9/29/26
DATE

Zaira Gallegos Torres
House Representative

-Official Record-

ASG Biennial Funding Evaluation

School Year 2026–2027

Organization: CMU BAND Date: 9/29/26

Evaluator: Juli Holt

Instructions: Score the organization on each criterion on a 0–5 scale, with 0 indicating that the organization does not meet the established standards and 5 indicating that the organization fully meets or exceeds the established standards.

Evaluation Criterion	Weight (%)	Score (0–5)	Weighted Score
Previous usage of funds	20% (x4)	3	12
The effectiveness of programming or activities	30% (x6)	5	30
Justification of future budgeted funds	30% (x6)	4	24
Ability to uphold the values and policies of Colorado Mesa University	15% (x3)	5	15
Representation of Colorado Mesa University in a positive manner	5% (x1)	5	5
TOTAL	**100%**	22	**86 / 100**

Evaluation Criterion	Comments
Previous usage of funds	Had no funding at very beginning, have gradually increased to gain more participants and also recognition of program
The effectiveness of programming or activities	Show up at every football game, and many events. Participate in events throughout the state that are recognizable
Justification of future budgeted funds	Increase scholarship due to time and effort spent, and to increase numbers. Participants are compensated with stipend/hourly?
Ability to uphold the values and policies of Colorado Mesa University	No instances in not upholding values and policies of CMU
Representation of Colorado Mesa University in a positive manner	Represent CMU positively, have always kept a respectable manner when wearing CMU gear and putting CMU's name out there

Note: *Completed rubrics are official records and shall be retained within the minutes of the corresponding Budget Proposal meeting.*

10/2/2026
DATE

Ali Hoff
House Representative

Personal notes during presentation:
Large Outreach
$380 to register for course- stampede members $1.25/hour in scholarship
Scholarships biggest increase in funds

-Official Record-

ASG Biennial Funding Evaluation

School Year 2026–2027

Organization: _____CMU Band_____ Date: ____10/02/2026_____

Evaluator: _____Livengood_____

Instructions: Score the organization on each criterion on a 0–5 scale, with 0 indicating that the organization does not meet the established standards and 5 indicating that the organization fully meets or exceeds the established standards.

Evaluation Criterion	Weight (%)	Score (0–5)	Weighted Score
Previous usage of funds	20% (x4)	4	16
The effectiveness of programming or activities	30% (x6)	5	30
Justification of future budgeted funds	30% (x6)	4	24
Ability to uphold the values and policies of Colorado Mesa University	15% (x3)	4	12
Representation of Colorado Mesa University in a positive manner	5% (x1)	4	4
TOTAL	**100%**	—	**_86__ / 100**

Evaluation Criterion	Comments
Previous usage of funds	I feel the previous use of the funds for the senior band is adequate and maybe should Get a slight increase. I also feel that the CMU band

Evaluation Criterion	Comments
	is beneficial to the morale and camaraderie of the student body of the hole
The effectiveness of programming or activities	Like I said before, and the previous usage of funds, I believe that it is beneficial for the camaraderie and row of students
Justification of future budgeted funds	
Ability to uphold the values and policies of Colorado Mesa University	The ability uphold the values and policy of color makes university is very apparent in the speech and framework that they laid out in their talk to us This week
Representation of Colorado Mesa University in a positive manner	I do believe the CMU band is a positive representation of the reputation that we have on the University campus

Note: *Completed rubrics are official records and shall be retained within the minutes of the corresponding Budget Proposal meeting.*

_____10/02/2026_____ _____Livengood_____

DATE ***House Representative***

-Official Record-

ASG Biennial Funding Evaluation

School Year 2026–2027

Organization: CMU Band Date: 9/29/26

Evaluator: Rep. Andre Martinez – Student Safety & Mental Health

Instructions: Score the organization on each criterion on a 0–5 scale, with 0 indicating that the organization does not meet the established standards and 5 indicating that the organization fully meets or exceeds the established standards.

Evaluation Criterion	Weight (%)	Score (0–5)	Weighted Score
Previous usage of funds	20% (x4)	2	8
The effectiveness of programming or activities	30% (x6)	5	30
Justification of future budgeted funds	30% (x6)	3.5	21
Ability to uphold the values and policies of Colorado Mesa University	15% (x3)	5	15
Representation of Colorado Mesa University in a positive manner	5% (x1)	5	5
TOTAL	**100%**	—	**79/ 100**

0

Evaluation Criterion	Comments
Previous usage of funds	I have a grave concern about the $14,187 that was left untouched during the year 2026 and just generalized unorganized fund usage. Although "valid" justification was provided and was under the direction of a former Band Director, the concern still exists about possible misappropriation or exceeds funding.
The effectiveness of programming or activities	It speaks for itself; the CMU Band(s) consistently show up and support all CMU events.
Justification of future budgeted funds	Major concerns for me lie in the stipend increase and the justification to prevent students from getting a scholarship, then leaving. A major decrease in funds allocated to the institutional scholarship is a concern to me – appears to be switching the two. Would appreciate more clarification on the why.
Ability to uphold the values and policies of Colorado Mesa University	The CMU Band(s) operate in a manner consistent with the values and policies of CMU, no comments.
Representation of Colorado Mesa University in a positive manner	Represents CMU in a positive manner across the Country and brings students from across the nation to CMU to join our Band(s). No comments.

Note: *Completed rubrics are official records and shall be retained within the minutes of the corresponding Budget Proposal meeting.*

PLEASE CONTACT ME AT amartinez@mavs.coloradomesa.edu.

Tuesday, September 29, 2026

DATE

ANDRE MARTINEZ

House Representative

ASG Biennial Funding Evaluation

School Year 2026–2027

Organization: CMU Bands Date: 09/29/2026

Evaluator: Triston Rogers

Instructions: Score the organization on each criterion on a 0–5 scale, with 0 indicating that the organization does not meet the established standards and 5 indicating that the organization fully meets or exceeds the established standards.

Evaluation Criterion	Weight (%)	Score (0–5)	Weighted Score
Previous usage of funds	20% (x4)	4	16
The effectiveness of programming or activities	30% (x6)	5	30
Justification of future budgeted funds	30% (x6)	3	18
Ability to uphold the values and policies of Colorado Mesa University	15% (x3)	5	15
Representation of Colorado Mesa University in a positive manner	5% (x1)	5	5
TOTAL	**100%**	22	**84/ 100**

Evaluation Criterion	Comments

Previous usage of funds	
The effectiveness of programming or activities	
Justification of future budgeted funds	
Ability to uphold the values and policies of Colorado Mesa University	
Representation of Colorado Mesa University in a positive manner	

Note: *Completed rubrics are official records and shall be retained within the minutes of the corresponding Budget Proposal meeting.*

09/29/2026 Triston Rogers

DATE ***House Representative***

-Official Record-

-Official Record-

ASG Biennial Funding Evaluation

School Year 2026–2027

Organization: _____Cmu Bands_____ Date: ___9/29/26_

Evaluator: _____Rep James Ruehmann_____

Instructions: Score the organization on each criterion on a 0–5 scale, with 0 indicating that the organization does not meet the established standards and 5 indicating that the organization fully meets or exceeds the established standards.

Evaluation Criterion	Weight (%)	Score (0–5)	Weighted Score
Previous usage of funds	20% (x4)	3	12
The effectiveness of programming or activities	30% (x6)	2	12
Justification of future budgeted funds	30% (x6)	2	12
Ability to uphold the values and policies of Colorado Mesa University	15% (x3)	5	15
Representation of Colorado Mesa University in a positive manner	5% (x1)	5	5
TOTAL	**100%**	17	**56_ / 100**

Evaluation Criterion	Comments
Previous usage of funds	No comments.
The effectiveness of programming or activities	I am not sure about discrepancies in stipends
Justification of future budgeted funds	See above. Student body is subsidizing pay for limited returns for most students. Scholarships would be a better vehicle. Also, I do not like that we are paying some bands and not others. Streamline.
Ability to uphold the values and policies of Colorado Mesa University	Have not shown otherwise.
Representation of Colorado Mesa University in a positive manner	No comments. Good at what they do.

Note: *Completed rubrics are official records and shall be retained within the minutes of the corresponding Budget Proposal meeting.*

_____9/29/26_____ _____

DATE ***House Representative***

-Official Record-

ASG Biennial Funding Evaluation
School Year 2026–2027

Organization: CMU Bands Date: Oct. 5, 2026

Evaluator: Jayden Alonzo-Estrada

Instructions: Score the organization on each criterion on a 0–5 scale, with 0 indicating that the organization does not meet the established standards and 5 indicating that the organization fully meets or exceeds the established standards.

Evaluation Criterion	Weight (%)	Score (0–5)	Weighted Score
Previous usage of funds	20% (x4)	3	12
The effectiveness of programming or activities	30% (x6)	5	30
Justification of future budgeted funds	30% (x6)	4	24
Ability to uphold the values and policies of Colorado Mesa University	15% (x3)	5	15
Representation of Colorado Mesa University in a positive manner	5% (x1)	5	5
TOTAL	**100%**	22	**84 / 100**

Evaluation Criterion	Comments
Previous usage of funds	Due to a lack of previous documentation.
The effectiveness of programming or activities	The bands are an integral part of CMU culture.
Justification of future budgeted funds	If the goal is a sizeable increase in the bands, it needs to be funded accordingly.
Ability to uphold the values and policies of Colorado Mesa University	CMU Bands cares about the culture of CMU.
Representation of Colorado Mesa University in a positive manner	CMU Bands is a great ambassador for CMU to the wider world.

Note: *Completed rubrics are official records and shall be retained within the minutes of the corresponding Budget Proposal meeting.*

Oct. 5, 2026
DATE

Jayden Alonzo-Estrada
House Representative

ASSOCIATED STUDENT GOVERNMENT

Associated Student Government

Feedback Forms

September 29, 2026 | Hearing Week 1

ASG Biennial Funding Evaluation | School Year 2026-2027

Forms included

9 reviewer forms | Packet pages 62-80

REVIEWER	PACKET PAGES
Jamal Bragg	63-64
Jess Clark-Scott	65-66
Zaira Garcia	67-68
Juli Holt	69-70
Cory Livengood	71-72
Andre Martinez	73-74
Triston Rogers	75-76
James Ruehmann	77-78
House Speaker Jayden Alonzo-Estrada	79-80

-Official Record-

ASG Biennial Funding Evaluation
School Year 2026–2027

Organization: _____ASG_____ Date: _____09-29-26_____

Evaluator: _____Jamal Bragg_____

Instructions: Score the organization on each criterion on a 0–5 scale, with 0 indicating that the organization does not meet the established standards and 5 indicating that the organization fully meets or exceeds the established standards.

Evaluation Criterion	Weight (%)	Score (0–5)	Weighted Score
Previous usage of funds	20% (x4)	4	16
The effectiveness of programming or activities	30% (x6)	4	24
Justification of future budgeted funds	30% (x6)	4	24
Ability to uphold the values and policies of Colorado Mesa University	15% (x3)	4	12
Representation of Colorado Mesa University in a positive manner	5% (x1)	4	4
TOTAL	**100%**	—	**80____ / 100**

Evaluation Criterion	Comments
Previous usage of funds	Great, used it in a helpful manner.
The effectiveness of programming or activities	Also great, lots of on campus activities for the students
Justification of future budgeted funds	Justified
Ability to uphold the values and policies of Colorado Mesa University	Potentially one of the best orgs to do so
Representation of Colorado Mesa University in a positive manner	Always have a positive face to rep CMU's values

Note: *Completed rubrics are official records and shall be retained within the minutes of the corresponding Budget Proposal meeting.*

_____ _____

DATE ***House Representative***

-Official Record-

ASG Biennial Funding Evaluation
School Year 2026–2027

Organization: ASG Date: 9/29/26

Evaluator: Jess J. Clark-Scott. House Rep-at-Large

Instructions: Score the organization on each criterion on a 0–5 scale, with 0 indicating that the organization does not meet the established standards and 5 indicating that the organization fully meets or exceeds the established standards.

Evaluation Criterion	Weight (%)	Score (0–5)	Weighted Score
Previous usage of funds	20% (x4)	3	12
The effectiveness of programming or activities	30% (x6)	0	0
Justification of future budgeted funds	30% (x6)	0	0
Ability to uphold the values and policies of Colorado Mesa University	15% (x3)	5	15
Representation of Colorado Mesa University in a positive manner	5% (x1)	5	5
TOTAL	**100%**	13	**32/ 100**

Evaluation Criterion	Comments
Previous usage of funds	3. ASG events are structured. Student stipends are ridiculous.
The effectiveness of programming or activities	0. Lacks clear student engagement.
Justification of future budgeted funds	0. Not worth increasing the budget. If anything due to lack of student engagement funding needs to be cut. Stipends are bloated.
Ability to uphold the values and policies of Colorado Mesa University	5. Self-explanatory.
Representation of Colorado Mesa University in a positive manner	5. Self-explanatory.

Note: *Completed rubrics are official records and shall be retained within the minutes of the corresponding Budget Proposal meeting.*

9/29/26
DATE

Jess J. Clark-Scott
House Representative

-Official Record-

ASG Biennial Funding Evaluation

School Year 2026–2027

Organization: Associated Student Government Date: 9/29/26

Evaluator: Zaira Garcia Torres

Instructions: Score the organization on each criterion on a 0–5 scale, with 0 indicating that the organization does not meet the established standards and 5 indicating that the organization fully meets or exceeds the established standards.

Evaluation Criterion	Weight (%)	Score (0–5)	Weighted Score
Previous usage of funds	20% (x4)	4	16
The effectiveness of programming or activities	30% (x6)	5	30
Justification of future budgeted funds	30% (x6)	5	30
Ability to uphold the values and policies of Colorado Mesa University	15% (x3)	5	15
Representation of Colorado Mesa University in a positive manner	5% (x1)	5	5
TOTAL	**100%**	—	**96/ 100**

Evaluation Criterion	Comments
Previous usage of funds	ASG has used its funding for student programming, Mav Awards, tailgates, transportation, student organization support, and other

Evaluation Criterion	Comments
	operational expenses. It was explained that some previous funding records don't fully reflect the current constitution because the documents were not clearly transferred between administration.
The effectiveness of programming or activities	ASG provides services that benefit the overall student body, like tailgates student organization support, GVT transit passes. They also focus on increasing student involvement.
Justification of future budgeted funds	ASG explained how its funding supports student programming, paid positions, awards, and transportation. The breakdown for the paid positions was clear.
Ability to uphold the values and policies of Colorado Mesa University	ASG upholds CMU values by representing the student body, encouraging student involvement, providing services and programs for students.
Representation of Colorado Mesa University in a positive manner	ASG represents CMU in a positive manner by serving as a voice for the student body and providing students with services that are necessary for their education.

Note: *Completed rubrics are official records and shall be retained within the minutes of the corresponding Budget Proposal meeting.*

6/29/26
DATE

Zaiden Garcia Torres
House Representative

-Official Record-

ASG Biennial Funding Evaluation

School Year 2026–2027

Organization: ASG Date: 9/29/26

Evaluator: Juli Holt

Instructions: Score the organization on each criterion on a 0–5 scale, with 0 indicating that the organization does not meet the established standards and 5 indicating that the organization fully meets or exceeds the established standards.

Evaluation Criterion	Weight (%)	Score (0–5)	Weighted Score
Previous usage of funds	20% (x4)	4	16
The effectiveness of programming or activities	30% (x6)	5	30
Justification of future budgeted funds	30% (x6)	4	24
Ability to uphold the values and policies of Colorado Mesa University	15% (x3)	5	15
Representation of Colorado Mesa University in a positive manner	5% (x1)	5	5
TOTAL	**100%**	23	**90 / 100**

Evaluation Criterion	Comments
Previous usage of funds	Funds have stayed somewhat consistent over the past couple years- no major drops or increases
The effectiveness of programming or activities	Plan events when can- not main focus but have a lot of involvement on campus still. Mainly work on Mav Awards
Justification of future budgeted funds	Have proposed slightly reducing budget
Ability to uphold the values and policies of Colorado Mesa University	Have upheld values and policies of CMU- justified reasoning when students have disagreed and looked into why
Representation of Colorado Mesa University in a positive manner	Represent CMU student body greatly, looking to make student fees fair

Note: *Completed rubrics are official records and shall be retained within the minutes of the corresponding Budget Proposal meeting.*

10/2/2026
DATE

H
House Representative

-Official Record-

ASG Biennial Funding Evaluation

School Year 2026–2027

Organization: _____ASG_____ Date: ____10/02/2026_____

Evaluator: _____Livengood_____

Instructions: Score the organization on each criterion on a 0–5 scale, with 0 indicating that the organization does not meet the established standards and 5 indicating that the organization fully meets or exceeds the established standards.

Evaluation Criterion	Weight (%)	Score (0–5)	Weighted Score
Previous usage of funds	20% (x4)	4	16
The effectiveness of programming or activities	30% (x6)	2	12
Justification of future budgeted funds	30% (x6)	0	0
Ability to uphold the values and policies of Colorado Mesa University	15% (x3)	4	12
Representation of Colorado Mesa University in a positive manner	5% (x1)	3	3
TOTAL	**100%**	**—**	**_43__ / 100**

Evaluation Criterion	Comments
Previous usage of funds	
The effectiveness of programming or activities	
Justification of future budgeted funds	
Ability to uphold the values and policies of Colorado Mesa University	
Representation of Colorado Mesa University in a positive manner	

Note: *Completed rubrics are official records and shall be retained within the minutes of the corresponding Budget Proposal meeting.*

_____10/02/2026_____ _____Livengood_____

__

DATE ***House Representative***

-Official Record-

ASG Biennial Funding Evaluation

School Year 2026–2027

Organization: Associated Student Government Date: 9/29/26

Evaluator: Rep. Andre Martinez – Student Safety & Mental Health

Instructions: Score the organization on each criterion on a 0–5 scale, with 0 indicating that the organization does not meet the established standards and 5 indicating that the organization fully meets or exceeds the established standards.

Evaluation Criterion	Weight (%)	Score (0–5)	Weighted Score
Previous usage of funds	20% (x4)	5	20
The effectiveness of programming or activities	30% (x6)	5	30
Justification of future budgeted funds	30% (x6)	4	24
Ability to uphold the values and policies of Colorado Mesa University	15% (x3)	4.5	13.5
Representation of Colorado Mesa University in a positive manner	5% (x1)	4.5	4.5
TOTAL	**100%**	—	**92/ 100**

Evaluation Criterion	Comments
Previous usage of funds	Excellent usage of funds. No comments.
The effectiveness of programming or activities	Programming supports CMU students and is developed to further relationships between students and their representative(s).
Justification of future budgeted funds	Points deducted for inaccurate information projected on presentation and material presented to the house, no comments. Generalized justifications to me are acceptable, however, the possible decrease in RNCF funds.
Ability to uphold the values and policies of Colorado Mesa University	No comment.
Representation of Colorado Mesa University in a positive manner	No comment.

Note: *Completed rubrics are official records and shall be retained within the minutes of the corresponding Budget Proposal meeting.*

Tuesday, September 29, 2026
DATE

ANDRE MARTINEZ
House Representative

-Official Record-

ASG Biennial Funding Evaluation
School Year 2026–2027

Organization: ASG Date: 10/13/2026

Evaluator: Triston R. Rogers

Instructions: Score the organization on each criterion on a 0–5 scale, with 0 indicating that the organization does not meet the established standards and 5 indicating that the organization fully meets or exceeds the established standards.

Evaluation Criterion	Weight (%)	Score (0–5)	Weighted Score
Previous usage of funds	20% (x4)	3	12
The effectiveness of programming or activities	30% (x6)	4	24
Justification of future budgeted funds	30% (x6)	2	12
Ability to uphold the values and policies of Colorado Mesa University	15% (x3)	5	15
Representation of Colorado Mesa University in a positive manner	5% (x1)	5	5
TOTAL	**100%**	19	**68 / 100**

Evaluation Criterion	Comments
Previous usage of funds	
The effectiveness of programming or activities	
Justification of future budgeted funds	
Ability to uphold the values and policies of Colorado Mesa University	
Representation of Colorado Mesa University in a positive manner	

Note: *Completed rubrics are official records and shall be retained within the minutes of the corresponding Budget Proposal meeting.*

10/13/2026
DATE

Triston R. Rogers
House Representative

-Official Record-

ASG Biennial Funding Evaluation

School Year 2026–2027

Organization: _____ASG Operational budget_____ Date: __9/29/26_____

Evaluator: _____Rep. James Ruehmann_____

Instructions: Score the organization on each criterion on a 0–5 scale, with 0 indicating that the organization does not meet the established standards and 5 indicating that the organization fully meets or exceeds the established standards.

Evaluation Criterion	Weight (%)	Score (0–5)	Weighted Score
Previous usage of funds	20% (x4)	4	16
The effectiveness of programming or activities	30% (x6)	3	18
Justification of future budgeted funds	30% (x6)	0	0
Ability to uphold the values and policies of Colorado Mesa University	15% (x3)	2	6
Representation of Colorado Mesa University in a positive manner	5% (x1)	0	0
TOTAL	**100%**	9	**40 / 100**

Evaluation Criterion	Comments
Previous usage of funds	We are not in debt.
The effectiveness of programming or activities	I'm not convinced on free GVT, or some of the activities year to year. Metrics do not match spending.
Justification of future budgeted funds	For starters- the budget we were given in the workbook did not match the requests in the presentation. Bylaw pay numbers do not match public records, regardless of if new bylaws were passed, and discrepancies in the separate funds leads me to think we should zero salary and create a general fund.
Ability to uphold the values and policies of Colorado Mesa University	The current admin is a huge improvement from the last.
Representation of Colorado Mesa University in a positive manner	Promised to lower student fees before the biennial and then raised student fees in their own proposal. Make that make sense. 0.

Note: *Completed rubrics are official records and shall be retained within the minutes of the corresponding Budget Proposal meeting.*

_____9/29/26_____ _____ _____

DATE ***House Representative***

-Official Record-

ASG Biennial Funding Evaluation
School Year 2026–2027

Organization: ASG Date: Oct. 5, 2026

Evaluator: Jayden Alonzo-Estrada

Instructions: Score the organization on each criterion on a 0–5 scale, with 0 indicating that the organization does not meet the established standards and 5 indicating that the organization fully meets or exceeds the established standards.

Evaluation Criterion	Weight (%)	Score (0–5)	Weighted Score
Previous usage of funds	20% (x4)	4	16
The effectiveness of programming or activities	30% (x6)	3	18
Justification of future budgeted funds	30% (x6)	4	24
Ability to uphold the values and policies of Colorado Mesa University	15% (x3)	5	15
Representation of Colorado Mesa University in a positive manner	5% (x1)	5	5
TOTAL	**100%**	21	**80 / 100**

Evaluation Criterion	Comments
Previous usage of funds	Due to a lack of previous, in-depth documentation.
The effectiveness of programming or activities	The ultimate responsibility of handling student fees must be handled responsibly and must have its process efficient and codified.
Justification of future budgeted funds	The budgets themselves are well justified. I believe compensation for members of the org should be increased to facilitate good work.
Ability to uphold the values and policies of Colorado Mesa University	The ASG embodies CMU values.
Representation of Colorado Mesa University in a positive manner	The ASG is an integral organization to facilitate representation of CMU.

Note: *Completed rubrics are official records and shall be retained within the minutes of the corresponding Budget Proposal meeting.*

Oct. 5, 2026
DATE

Jayden Alonzo-Estrada
House Representative