1. One of the minimum qualifications listed is “recent experience with projects of similar size, scope, and magnitude (three projects with costs in excess of $6,000,000 completed in last five years).” My firm has completed many similar projects with Colorado in the higher-educations space, and prior to starting my firm I personally completed similar projects in both California and Oregon. However, even though many of these projects were similar in size, scope and magnitude, none of them approached $6,000,000 in cost. If I cannot show work at or above that cost will my proposal not even be considered?
   A. There is not a yes or no answer to this. While a $5,700,000 project of similar scope and complexity might very well be determined to meet the minimum, a $250,000 project, regardless of similarity and complexity, might not.

2. How much site-work is expected to be part of the overall project scope? Should the street/pedestrian improvements discussed in the pre-submittal conference be considered part of the potential scope?
   A. Yes, the site work should be considered as part of the potential work for this project’s design team.

3. Should we include Civil / Landscape consultants on our team to address the new 12th street crossing or would this component be added on to the contract later based on exploration of crossing solutions?
   A. Yes

4. Within the contract will you allow us to add a “Standard of Care” clause?
   A. Contract will be an unedited State of Colorado contract.

5. In section 1.1.5 & 1.7.3 of contract can we replace the word “ensure” with “provide”? (6 total substitutions)
   A. No

6. In section 1.8.8, observance of compliance would be by Arch/Engineer team or by an appropriate consultant. We assume that consultant would be a 3rd party reviewer obtained by client, is this correct?
   A. Correct

7. Where did the 12,229SF number come from for the new bookstore in the Albertsons?
   A. Rough estimate from CMU staff

8. How much site work is envisioned to the parking lot and entry areas?
   A. To be determined with the design team

9. The possibility of the 12th street crossing was discussed at the preliminary meeting as being a little as another blinking light crosswalk and as much as a over/under crossing of 12th street. What is the level of development for the crossing to be considered under this RFP?
   A. To be determined with the design team
10. On the pre-proposal call, Dave Detwiler showed a city map on the screen. Can this be shared with the bidders? (Google maps did not have the most current fields showing on the site)
   A. Please go to the City of Grand Junction GIS site to see the images shown on the screen.

11. Is there a geotechnical report available for the site?
   A. Not at this time

12. Is there a site survey that you can share with the bidders?
   A. Not at this time

13. Are there any other drawings available of the existing building including architectural, structural, and/or MEP?
   A. Not at this time.

End Addendum #1