

A class of non-symmetric Laguerre-Hahn Polynomials

MOHAMED ZAATRA*

Abstract

We show that if v is a regular Laguerre-Hahn form (linear functional), then the form u defined by $(x - \beta_0^2)\sigma u = -\lambda v$ and $\sigma(x - \beta_0)u = 0$ where σu is the even part of u , is also regular and Laguerre-Hahn form for every complex λ except for a discrete set of numbers depending on v . We give explicitly the recurrence coefficients and the structure relation coefficients of the orthogonal polynomials sequence associated with u and the class of the form u knowing that of v . An example related to the associated form of the first of Jacobi is worked out.

Keywords: Orthogonal polynomials; Laguerre-Hahn forms; structure relation.

Mathematics Subject classifications: 33C45 ; 42C05

1. Introduction

In many recent papers, different construction processes of Laguerre-Hahn orthogonal polynomials (O.P) grow from well known ones, particularly the associated of classical ones. For instance, we can mention the adjunction of a finite number of Dirac's masses to Laguerre-Hahn forms [1, 6, 8], the product and the division of a form by a polynomial [2, 3, 8, 10, 12].

The whole idea of the following work is to build a new construction process of a Laguerre-Hahn form, which has not yet been treated in the literature of Laguerre-Hahn polynomials. The problem we tackle is as follows:

We study the form u , fulfilling $(x - \beta_0^2)\sigma u = -\lambda v$, $\lambda \neq 0$, $(u)_{2n+1} = \beta_0(u)_{2n}$, where σu is the even part of u , $\beta_0 \in \mathbb{C}$ and v is a given Laguerre-Hahn form.

This paper is arranged in sections : The first provides a focus on the preliminary results and notations used in the sequel. We will also give the regularity condition and the coefficients of the three-term recurrence relation satisfied by the new family of O.P. In the second , we compute the exact class of the Laguerre-Hahn form obtained by the above modification and the structure relation of the O.P. sequence relatively to the form u will follow. In the final section, we apply our results to an example. The regular form found in the example is Laguerre-Hahn of class $\tilde{s} \leq 3$.

Let \mathcal{P} be the vector space of polynomials with coefficients in \mathbb{C} and let \mathcal{P}' be its dual. We denote by $\langle v, f \rangle$ the action of $v \in \mathcal{P}'$ on $f \in \mathcal{P}$. In particular, we denote by $(v)_n := \langle v, x^n \rangle$, $n \geq 0$, the moments of v . For any form v and

*Institut Supérieur de Gestion de Gabès Av. Habib Jilani - Gabès - 6002, Tunisia. (medzaatra@yahoo.fr)

any polynomial h let $Dv = v'$, hv , δ_c , and $(x-c)^{-1}v$ be the forms defined by: $\langle v', f \rangle := -\langle v, f' \rangle$, $\langle hv, f \rangle := \langle v, hf \rangle$, $\langle \delta_c, f \rangle := f(c)$, and $\langle (x-c)^{-1}v, f \rangle := \langle v, \theta_c f \rangle$ where $(\theta_c f)(x) = \frac{f(x) - f(c)}{x - c}$, $c \in \mathbb{C}$, $f \in \mathcal{P}$.

Then, it is straightforward to prove that for $f \in \mathcal{P}$ and $v \in \mathcal{P}'$, we have

$$(x-c)^{-1}((x-c)v) = v - (v)_0 \delta_c, \quad (1)$$

$$(x-c)((x-c)^{-1}u) = u. \quad (2)$$

Let us define the operator $\sigma : \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}$ by $(\sigma f)(x) := f(x^2)$. Then, we define the even part σv of v by $\langle \sigma v, f \rangle := \langle v, \sigma f \rangle$.

Therefore, we have [7, 9]

$$f(x)(\sigma v) = \sigma(f(x^2)v), \quad (3)$$

$$(\sigma v)_n = (v)_{2n}, n \geq 0, \quad (4)$$

The form v will be called regular if we can associate with it a sequence $\{S_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ ($\deg(S_n) \leq n$) such that

$$\langle v, S_n S_m \rangle = r_n \delta_{n,m}, \quad n, m \geq 0, \quad r_n \neq 0, \quad n \geq 0.$$

Then $\deg(S_n) = n$, $n \geq 0$, and we can always suppose each S_n monic (i.e. $S_n(x) = x^n + \dots$). The sequence $\{S_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ is said to be orthogonal with respect to v . It is a very well known fact that the sequence $\{S_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ satisfies the recurrence relation (see, for instance, the monograph by Chihara [7])

$$\begin{aligned} S_{n+2}(x) &= (x - \xi_{n+1})S_{n+1}(x) - \rho_{n+1}S_n(x), \quad n \geq 0, \\ S_1(x) &= x - \xi_0, \quad S_0(x) = 1. \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

with $(\xi_n, \rho_{n+1}) \in \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C} - \{0\}$, $n \geq 0$, by convention we set $\rho_0 = (v)_0 = 1$.

In this case, let $\{S_n^{(1)}\}_{n \geq 0}$ be the associated sequence of first kind for the sequence $\{S_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ satisfying the three-term recurrence relation [7]

$$\begin{aligned} S_{n+2}^{(1)}(x) &= (x - \xi_{n+2})S_{n+1}^{(1)}(x) - \rho_{n+2}S_n^{(1)}(x), \quad n \geq 0, \\ S_1^{(1)}(x) &= x - \xi_1, \quad S_0^{(1)}(x) = 1, \quad (S_{-1}^{(1)}(x) = 0). \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

Another important representation of $S_n^{(1)}$ is, (see [7])

$$S_n^{(1)}(x) := \left\langle v, \frac{S_{n+1}(x) - S_{n+1}(\zeta)}{x - \zeta} \right\rangle, \quad n \geq 0. \quad (7)$$

Also, let $\{S_n(\cdot, \mu)\}_{n \geq 0}$ be co-recursive polynomials for the sequence $\{S_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ satisfying [7]

$$S_n(x, \mu) = S_n(x) - \mu S_{n-1}^{(1)}, \quad n \geq 0. \quad (8)$$

We recall that a form v is called symmetric if $(v)_{2n+1} = 0$, $n \geq 0$. The conditions $(v)_{2n+1} = 0$, $n \geq 0$ are equivalent to the fact the corresponding monic orthogonal polynomials sequence $\{S_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ satisfies the recurrence relation (5) with $\xi_n = 0$, $n \geq 0$ [7].

Now let v be a regular, normalized form (i.e. $(v)_0 = 1$) and $\{S_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ be its

corresponding sequence of polynomials. For a $\beta_0 \in \mathbb{C}$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^*$, we can define a new form u as following:

$$(u)_{2n+2} - \beta_0^2(u)_{2n} = -\lambda(v)_n, \quad (u)_{2n+1} = \beta_0(u)_{2n}, \quad (u)_0 = 1, \quad n \geq 0. \quad (9)$$

Equivalently,

$$(x - \beta_0^2)\sigma u = -\lambda v, \quad \sigma((x - \beta_0)u) = 0. \quad (10)$$

From (1) and (10), we have

$$\sigma u = -\lambda(x - \beta_0^2)^{-1}v + \delta_{\beta_0^2}. \quad (11)$$

Remarks. (i) (10) is equivalent to

$$(x^2 - \beta_0^2)u = -\lambda w, \quad (12)$$

where the form w defined by

$$\sigma w = v, \quad \sigma(x - \beta_0)w = 0.$$

Notice that w is not necessarily a regular form in the problem understudy. In [2], the authors have solved where w is regular and $\beta_0 = 0$ and in [4], the problem (12) is solved when $\beta_0 \neq 0$ and w is regular.

(ii) The case $\beta_0 = 0$ is treated in [11], so henceforth we assume $\beta_0 \neq 0$.

PROPOSITION 1. [9] *The form u defined by (10) is regular if and only if σu and $(x - \beta_0^2)\sigma u$ are regular.*

PROPOSITION 2. *The form u is regular if and only if $\lambda \neq \lambda_n$, $n \geq 0$ where*

$$\lambda_0 = 0, \quad \lambda_{n+1} = \frac{S_{n+1}(\beta_0^2)}{S_n^{(1)}(\beta_0^2)}, \quad n \geq 0. \quad (13)$$

Proof. We have u is defined by (10). Then, according to Proposition 1. u is regular if and only if $(x - \beta_0^2)\sigma u$ and σu are regular. But $(x - \beta_0^2)\sigma u = -\lambda v$ is regular because $\lambda \neq 0$ and v is regular. So u is regular if and only if $\sigma u = -\lambda(x - \beta_0^2)^{-1}\sigma v + \delta_{\beta_0^2}$ is regular. Or, $\{S_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ is the corresponding orthogonal sequence to v , and it was shown in [10] that $\sigma u = -\lambda(x - \beta_0^2)^{-1}\sigma v + \delta_{\beta_0^2}$ is regular if and only if $\lambda \neq 0$, and $S_n(\beta_0^2, \lambda) \neq 0$, $n \geq 0$. Then we deduce the desired result. ■

When u is regular let $\{Z_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ be its corresponding sequence of polynomials satisfying the recurrence relation

$$\begin{aligned} Z_{n+2}(x) &= (x - (-1)^{n+1}\beta_0)Z_{n+1}(x) - \gamma_{n+1}Z_n(x), \quad n \geq 0, \\ Z_1(x) &= x - \beta_0, \quad Z_0(x) = 1. \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

Let us consider its quadratic decomposition [7, 9]:

$$Z_{2n}(x) = P_n(x^2), \quad Z_{2n+1}(x) = (x - \beta_0)R_n(x^2), \quad (15)$$

The sequences $\{P_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ and $\{R_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ are respectively orthogonal with respect to σu and $(x - \beta_0^2)\sigma u$.

From (13), we have

$$R_n(x) = S_n(x), \quad n \geq 0. \quad (16)$$

PROPOSITION 3. We may write

$$\gamma_1 = -\lambda, \quad \gamma_{2n+2} = a_n, \quad \gamma_{2n+3} = \frac{\rho_{n+1}}{a_n}, \quad n \geq 0, \quad (17)$$

where

$$a_n = -\frac{S_{n+1}(\beta_0^2, \lambda)}{S_n(\beta_0^2, \lambda)}, \quad n \geq 0. \quad (18)$$

For the proof, we need the following lemma:

LEMMA 4. We have

$$Z_{2n}^{(1)}(x) = R_n(x^2, \lambda), \quad Z_{2n+1}^{(1)}(x) = (x + \beta_0)P_n^{(1)}(x^2), \quad n \geq 0. \quad (19)$$

Proof. Using (7) and (15), one has

$$\begin{aligned} Z_{2n}(\zeta) &= \langle u, \frac{Z_{2n+1}(x) - Z_{2n+1}(\zeta)}{x - \zeta} \rangle \quad (u \text{ acts on the variable } x) \\ &= \langle u, \frac{(x - \beta_0)R_n(x^2) - (\zeta - \beta_0)R_n(\zeta^2)}{x - \zeta} \rangle \\ &= \langle u, R_n(\zeta^2) \rangle + \langle u, (x - \beta_0) \frac{R_n(x^2) - R_n(\zeta^2)}{x - \zeta} \rangle \\ &= R_n(\zeta^2) + \langle u, (x + \zeta)(x - \beta_0) \frac{R_n(x^2) - R_n(\zeta^2)}{x^2 - \zeta^2} \rangle \\ &= R_n(\zeta^2) + \langle u, ((x^2 - \beta_0^2) - (\beta_0 - \zeta)(x - \beta_0)) \frac{R_n(x^2) - R_n(\zeta^2)}{x^2 - \zeta^2} \rangle \\ &= R_n(\zeta^2) + \langle (x - \beta_0^2)\sigma u, \frac{R_n(x) - R_n(\zeta^2)}{x - \zeta^2} \rangle \quad (\text{from (10)}) \\ &= R_n(\zeta^2) - \lambda \langle v, \frac{R_n(x) - R_n(\zeta^2)}{x - \zeta^2} \rangle \quad (\text{from (10)}) \\ &= R_n(\zeta^2) - \lambda R_{n-1}^{(1)}(\zeta^2) \quad (\text{from (7)}) \\ &= R_n(\zeta^2, \lambda) \end{aligned}$$

For the proof of the second relation see the proof of the Lemma 4.2 in [5].

Hence, we get (19). ■

Proof of Proposition 3. Using (10) and the condition $\langle u, Z_2 \rangle = 0$, we obtain $\gamma_1 = -\lambda$.

From (6) and (14) where $n \rightarrow 2n$ and taking (16) and (19) into account, we get

$$S_{n+1}(x^2, -\gamma_1) = (x - \beta_0)Z_{2n+1}^{(1)}(x) - \gamma_{2n+2}S_n(x^2, -\gamma_1).$$

Substituting x by β_0 in the above equation, we obtain $\gamma_{2n+2} = a_n$.

From (14), we have

$$\gamma_{2n+2}\gamma_{2n+3} = \frac{\langle u, Z_{2n+2}^2 \rangle \langle u, Z_{2n+3}^2 \rangle}{\langle u, Z_{2n+1}^2 \rangle \langle u, Z_{2n+2}^2 \rangle} = \frac{\langle u, Z_{2n+3}^2 \rangle}{\langle u, Z_{2n+1}^2 \rangle}. \quad (20)$$

Using (5), (10) and (15) – (16), equation (20) becomes

$$\gamma_{2n+2}\gamma_{2n+3} = \rho_{n+1}, \quad (21)$$

then we deduce $\gamma_{2n+3} = \frac{\rho_{n+1}}{a_n}$.

2. The Laguerre-Hahn case

Let us recall that a form v is called Laguerre-Hahn when it is regular and satisfies a linear non-homogeneous differential equation [2]

$$\Phi(z)S'(v)(z) = B(z)S^2(v)(z) + C_0(z)S(v)(z) + D_0(z), \quad (22)$$

where Φ monic, B , C_0 and D_0 are polynomials and $S(v)(z)$ is the formal Stieltjes function of the form v , namely

$$S(v)(z) = - \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{(v)_n}{z^{n+1}}. \quad (23)$$

The class of the Laguerre-Hahn form v is $s = \max(\deg(\Phi) - 2, \deg(B) - 2, \deg(C_0) - 1)$ if and only if the following condition is satisfied [2]

$$\prod_{c \in \mathcal{Z}} (|B(c)| + |C_0(c)| + |D_0(c)|) \neq 0, \quad (24)$$

where \mathcal{Z} denotes the set of zeros of Φ .

The corresponding orthogonal sequence $\{S_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ is also called Laguerre-Hahn of class s .

The Laguerre-Hahn character is invariant by shifting. Indeed, the shifted form $\hat{v} = (h_{a^{-1}ot_{-b}})v$, $a \in \mathbb{C} - \{0\}$, $b \in \mathbb{C}$ satisfies

$$\hat{\Phi}(z)S'(\hat{v})(z) = \hat{B}(z)S^2(\hat{v})(z) + \hat{C}_0(z)S(\hat{v})(z) + \hat{D}_0(z), \quad (25)$$

with

$$\begin{cases} \hat{\Phi}(z) = a^{-k}\Phi(az + b), & \hat{B}(z) = a^{-k}B(az + b), \\ \hat{C}_0(z) = a^{1-k}C_0(az + b), & \hat{D}_0(z) = a^{2-k}D_0(az + b), \end{cases} \quad k = \deg(\Phi).$$

The forms $t_b v$ (translation of v) and $h_a v$ (dilation of v) are defined by

$$\langle t_b v, f \rangle := \langle v, f(x + b) \rangle, \quad \langle h_a v, f \rangle := \langle v, f(ax) \rangle, \quad f \in \mathcal{P}.$$

The sequence $\{\hat{S}_n(x) = a^{-n}S_n(ax + b)\}_{n \geq 0}$ is orthogonal with respect to \hat{v} and fulfils (5) with

$$\hat{\xi}_n = \frac{\xi_n - b}{a}, \quad \hat{\rho}_{n+1} = \frac{\rho_{n+1}}{a^2}, \quad n \geq 0. \quad (26)$$

We can state characterizations of Laguerre-Hahn orthogonal sequences. $\{S_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ is Laguerre-Hahn of class s if and only if one of the following statements holds:

(a) The form v satisfied the functional equation [2]

$$(\Phi(x)v)' + \Psi(x)v + B(x^{-1}v^2) = 0 \quad (27)$$

with

$$\Psi(x) = -\Phi'(x) - C_0(x). \quad (28)$$

We also have the following relation:

$$D_0(x) = -(v\theta_0\Phi)'(x) - (v\theta_0\Psi)(x) - (v^2\theta_0^2B)(x). \quad (29)$$

(b) $\{S_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ fulfils the following structure relation (written in a compact form):

$$\begin{aligned} & \Phi(x)S'_{n+1}(x) - B(x)S_n^{(1)}(x) = \\ & \frac{1}{2}(C_{n+1}(x) - C_0(x))S_{n+1}(x) - \rho_{n+1}D_{n+1}(x)S_n(x), \quad n \geq 0, \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

where

$$\begin{cases} C_{n+1}(x) = -C_n(x) + 2(x - \xi_n)D_n(x), n \geq 0, \\ \rho_{n+1}D_{n+1}(x) = -\Phi(x) + \rho_n D_{n-1}(x) - (x - \xi_n)C_n(x) + \\ \quad + (x - \xi_n)^2 D_n(x), n \geq 0, \end{cases} \quad (31)$$

Φ , $C_0(x)$ and $D_0(x)$ are the same polynomials introduced in (a); ξ_n, ρ_n are the coefficients of the three term recurrence relation (5). Notice that $D_{-1}(x) = B(x)$, $\deg C_n \leq s + 1$ and $\deg D_n \leq s, n \geq 0$ [2].

In the sequel the form v will be supposed Laguerre-Hahn form of class s satisfying (22) and (27) and using a dilation in the variable β_0 , we can take him equal to one.

PROPOSITION 5. *For every $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} - \{0\}$ such that $S_n(1, \lambda) \neq 0, n \geq 0$, the form u defined by (10) is regular and Laguerre-Hahn. It satisfies*

$$\tilde{\Phi}(z)S'(u)(z) = \tilde{B}(z)S^2(u)(z) + \tilde{C}_0(z)S(u)(z) + \tilde{D}_0(z), \quad (32)$$

where

$$\begin{cases} \tilde{\Phi}(z) = (z - 1)\Phi(z^2), \\ \tilde{B}(z) = -2\lambda^{-1}z(z - 1)^2B(z^2), \\ \tilde{C}_0(z) = 2z(z - 1)C_0(z^2) - \Phi(z^2) - 4\lambda^{-1}z(z - 1)B(z^2), \\ \tilde{D}_0(z) = -2z(\lambda^{-1}B(z^2) + \lambda D_0(z^2) - C_0(z^2)), \end{cases} \quad (33)$$

and u is of class \tilde{s} such that $\tilde{s} \leq 2s + 3$.

Proof. From (10) and (23), we have

$$S(v)(z^2) = -\lambda^{-1}(z - 1)S(u)(z) - \lambda^{-1}. \quad (34)$$

Make a change of variable $z \rightarrow z^2$ in (22), multiply by $-2\lambda z$ and substitute (34) in the obtained equation, we get (32) – (33).

Then, $\deg(\tilde{\Phi}) \leq 2s + 5$, $\deg(\tilde{B}) \leq 2s + 7$ and $\deg(\tilde{C}_0) \leq 2s + 4$.

Thus, $\tilde{s} = \max(\deg(\tilde{\Phi}) - 2, \deg(\tilde{B}) - 2, \deg(\tilde{C}_0) - 1) \leq 2s + 5$. ■

As an immediate consequence of (32) – (33), the form u satisfies the functional equation

$$(\tilde{\Phi}u)' + \tilde{\Psi}u + \tilde{B}(x^{-1}u^2) = 0, \quad (35)$$

where $\tilde{\Phi}$ is the polynomial defined by (33) and

$$\tilde{\Psi}(x) = -\tilde{\Phi}'(x) - \tilde{C}_0(x) = 2x(x - 1)\Psi(x^2) + 4\lambda^{-1}x(x - 1)B(x^2). \quad (36)$$

PROPOSITION 6. *The class of u depends only on the zeros $x = 0$ and $x = 1$ of $\tilde{\Phi}$.*

Proof. Since v is a Laguerre-Hahn form of class s , $S(v)(z)$ satisfies (22), where the polynomials Φ , B , C_0 and D_0 are coprime. Let $\tilde{\Phi}$, \tilde{B} , \tilde{C}_0 and \tilde{D}_0 be as in Proposition 5. Let d be a zero of $\tilde{\Phi}$ different from 0 and 1, this implies that $\Phi(d^2) = 0$. We know that $|B(d^2)| + |C_0(d^2)| + |D_0(d^2)| \neq 0$

(i) If $B(d^2) \neq 0$, then $\tilde{B}(d) \neq 0$,

(ii) if $B(d^2) = 0$ and $C_0(d^2) \neq 0$, then $\tilde{C}_0(d) \neq 0$,

(iii) if $B(d^2) = C_0(d^2) = 0$, then $\tilde{D}_0(d) \neq 0$,

whence $|\tilde{B}(d)| + |\tilde{C}_0(d)| + |\tilde{D}_0(d)| \neq 0$. ■

Concerning the class of u , we have the following result.

PROPOSITION 7. *Let $t = \deg(\Phi)$, $r = \deg(B)$, $p = \deg(C_0)$, $X(z) = C_0(z) - \lambda D_0(z) - \lambda^{-1}B(z)$ and $Y(z) = C_0(z) - \Phi(z) - 2\lambda^{-1}B(z)$, where the polynomials Φ , B , C_0 and D_0 are defined in (22).*

For every $\lambda \neq \lambda_n$, $n \geq 0$, the linear functional u defined by (10) is regular and Laguerre-Hahn form of class \tilde{s} satisfying (32). Moreover:

1) If $\Phi(0)(|\Phi(1)| + |X(1)|) \neq 0$, then

$$\tilde{s} = \begin{cases} 2s + 5 & \text{if } r > p, t < r + 1, \\ 2s + 3 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (37)$$

2) If either

$$\Phi(0) = 0 \text{ and } |\Phi(1)| + |X(1)| \neq 0$$

or

$$\Phi(1) = X(1) = 0 \text{ and } \Phi(0)(|Y(1)| + |X'(1)|) \neq 0,$$

then

$$\tilde{s} = \begin{cases} 2s + 4 & \text{if } r > p, t < r + 1, \\ 2s + 2 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (38)$$

3) If either

$$\Phi(1) = X(1) = \Phi(0) = 0 \text{ and } |Y(1)| + |X'(1)| \neq 0$$

or

$$\Phi(1) = X(1) = X'(1) = Y(1) = 0 \text{ and } \Phi(0) \neq 0,$$

then

$$\tilde{s} = \begin{cases} 2s + 3 & \text{if } r > p, t < r + 1. \\ 2s + 1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (39)$$

4) If $\Phi(1) = X(1) = X'(1) = Y(1) = \Phi(0) = 0$, then

$$\tilde{s} = \begin{cases} 2s + 2 & \text{if } r > p, t < r + 1, \\ 2s & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (40)$$

Proof. 1) If $\Phi(0)(|\Phi(1)| + |X(1)|) \neq 0$, then it is not possible to simplify (32) according to Proposition 6. and the standard criterion (24). From (32), we have $\deg(\tilde{\Phi}) = 2t + 1$, $\deg(\tilde{B}) = 2r + 3$ and $\tilde{p} := \deg(\tilde{C}_0) \leq \max(2p + 2, 2t, 2r + 2)$.

We will distinguish two cases:

(a) $p < \max(r, t - 1)$, then $\tilde{p} \leq \max(2r + 2, 2t)$ and $\tilde{s} = \max(2r + 1, 2t - 1)$. If $t < r + 1$, then $\tilde{s} = 2r + 1 = 2s + 5$. If $t \geq r + 1$, then $\tilde{s} = 2t - 1 = 2s + 3$.

(b) $p \geq \max(r, t - 1)$, then $\tilde{p} = 2p + 2$ and $\tilde{s} = 2p + 1 = 2s + 3$.

Thus, from the above situation, we deduce (37).

2) Using (24), we obtain the following cases:

(i) If $\Phi(0) = 0$ and $|\Phi(1)| + |X(1)| \neq 0$, then it is possible to simplify (32) – (33) by z . Thus, u fulfills (32) with

$$\begin{cases} \tilde{\Phi}(z) = z(z-1)(\theta_0\Phi)(z^2), \\ \tilde{B}(z) = -2\lambda^{-1}(z-1)^2B(z^2), \\ \tilde{C}_0(z) = 2(z-1)C_0(z^2) - z(\theta_0\Phi)(z^2) - 4\lambda^{-1}(z-1)B(z^2), \\ \tilde{D}_0(z) = 2C_0(z^2) - 2\lambda D_0(z^2) - 2\lambda^{-1}B(z^2). \end{cases} \quad (41)$$

Hence, we get $\tilde{B}(0) = -2\lambda^{-1}B(0)$, $\tilde{C}_0(0) = -2C_0(0) + 4\lambda^{-1}B(0)$ and $\tilde{D}_0(0) = 2C_0(0) - 2\lambda D_0(0) - 2\lambda^{-1}B(0)$.

Or $|B(0)| + |C_0(0)| + |D_0(0)| \neq 0$, then it is not possible to simplify (32) – (41), which means that the class of u verifies (38).

(ii) If $\Phi(1) = X(1) = 0$ and $\Phi(0)(|Y(1)| + |X'(1)|) \neq 0$, then u fulfills (32) with

$$\begin{cases} \tilde{\Phi}(z) = \Phi(z^2), \\ \tilde{B}(z) = -2\lambda^{-1}z(z-1)B(z^2), \\ \tilde{C}_0(z) = 2zC_0(z^2) - (z+1)(\theta_1\Phi)(z^2) - 4\lambda^{-1}zB(z^2), \\ \tilde{D}_0(z) = 2C_0(z^2) - 2\lambda D_0(z^2) - 2\lambda^{-1}B(z^2) + \\ \quad + 2(z+1)(\theta_1(C_0 - \lambda D_0 - \lambda^{-1}B))(z^2), \end{cases} \quad (42)$$

and the class of u verifies (38).

3) Using the standard criterion (24), we obtain the following cases:

(i) If $\Phi(1) = X(1) = \Phi(0) = 0$, then we can simplify (32) – (41) by $z-1$.

We obtain

$$\begin{cases} \tilde{\Phi}(z) = z(\theta_0\Phi)(z^2), \\ \tilde{B}(z) = -2\lambda^{-1}(z-1)B(z^2), \\ \tilde{C}_0(z) = 2C_0(z^2) - (\theta_0\Phi)(z^2) - (z+1)(\theta_0\theta_1\Phi)(z^2) - 4\lambda^{-1}B(z^2), \\ \tilde{D}_0(z) = -2(z+1)(\theta_1(\lambda^{-1}B + \lambda D_0 - C_0))(z^2). \end{cases} \quad (43)$$

Therefore the class of u verifies (39) if $|Y(1)| + |X'(1)| \neq 0$.

(ii) If $\Phi(1) = X(1) = X'(1) = Y(1) = 0$, then we can simplify (32) – (42) by $(z-1)$.

We get

$$\begin{cases} \tilde{\Phi}(z) = (z+1)(\theta_1\Phi)(z^2), \\ \tilde{B}(z) = -2\lambda^{-1}zB(z^2), \\ \tilde{C}_0(z) = 2C_0(z^2) - (\theta_1\Phi)(z^2) - 4\lambda^{-1}B(z^2) + \\ \quad + 2(z+1)(\theta_1(C_0 - \theta_1\Phi - 2\lambda^{-1}B))(z^2), \\ \tilde{D}_0(z) = -2(z+2)(\theta_1(\lambda^{-1}B + \lambda D_0 - C_0))(z^2) - \\ \quad - 4(z+1)(\theta_1^2(\lambda^{-1}B + \lambda D_0 - C_0))(z^2). \end{cases} \quad (44)$$

Thus the class of u verifies (39) if $\Phi(0) \neq 0$.

Assuming that $\tilde{\Phi}(1) = 2\Phi'(1) = 0$, then from the condition $Y(1) = 0$ we obtain

$$-2\gamma_{2n+1}x(x-1)^2D_n(x^2)Z_{2n}(x), \quad n \geq 0.$$

From (46) and the above equation, we have for $n \geq 0$

$$\left\{ \frac{\tilde{C}_{2n+1}(x) - \tilde{C}_0(x)}{2} - X_n(x) \right\} Z_{2n+1}(x) = \gamma_{2n+1} \left\{ \tilde{D}_{2n+1} - Y_n(x) \right\} Z_{2n}(x)$$

with

$$X_n(x) = (C_n(x^2) - C_0(x^2) + 2\gamma_{2n+1}D_n(x^2) - 2\lambda^{-1}B(x^2))x(x-1) + \Phi(x^2)$$

$$\text{and } Y_n(x) = 2x(x-1)^2D_n(x^2).$$

Z_{2n+1} and Z_{2n} have no common zeros, then Z_{2n+1} divides $Y_n(x) - \tilde{D}_{2n+1}(x)$, which is a polynomial of degree at most equal to $2s+5$.

Then, we have necessarily $Y_n(x) - \tilde{D}_{2n+1}(x) = 0$ for $n > s+2$, and also

$$X_n(x) = \frac{\tilde{C}_{2n+1}(x) - \tilde{C}_0(x)}{2}, \quad n > s+2. \text{ Therefore, } \tilde{C}_{2n+1}(x) = 2X_n(x) + \tilde{C}_0(x)$$

and $\tilde{D}_{2n+1} = Y_n(x)$, $n > s+2$. Then, by (31), we get (48) for $n > s+2$. By virtue of the recurrence relation (47) and (31), we can easily prove by induction that the system (48) is valid for $0 \leq n \leq s+2$. Hence (48) is valid for $n \geq 0$.

Finally, from (47) and (48), we give (49). ■

3. Illustrative example

We study the problem (10), with $v := \mathcal{J}^{(1)}(\alpha, \beta)$ where $\mathcal{J}^{(1)}(\alpha, \beta)$ is the associated form of the first order of Jacobi form. Here [6, 9]

$$\begin{cases} \xi_n = \frac{\beta^2 - \alpha^2}{(2n + \alpha + \beta + 2)(2n + \alpha + \beta + 4)}, & n \geq 0, \\ \rho_{n+1} = 4 \frac{(n+2)(n+\alpha+2)(n+\beta+2)(n+\alpha+\beta+2)}{(2n+\alpha+\beta+3)(2n+\alpha+\beta+4)^2(2n+\alpha+\beta+5)}, & n \geq 0. \end{cases} \quad (50)$$

The regularity condition is $\alpha, \beta \neq -n, \alpha + \beta \neq -n, n \geq 2$.

$$\begin{cases} \Phi(x) = x^2 - 1, & B(x) = 4 \frac{(\alpha+\beta+1)(\alpha+1)(\beta+1)}{(\alpha+\beta+3)(\alpha+\beta+2)^2}, \\ \Psi(x) = -(\alpha + \beta + 4)x - \frac{\alpha^2 - \beta^2}{\alpha + \beta + 2}, \end{cases} \quad (51)$$

$$\begin{cases} D_n(x) = 2n + \alpha + \beta + 3, \\ C_n(x) = (2n + \alpha + \beta + 2)x + \frac{\alpha^2 - \beta^2}{2n + \alpha + \beta + 2}, & n \geq 0. \end{cases} \quad (52)$$

We assume $(\alpha + \beta + 1)(\alpha + 1)(\beta + 1) \neq 0$, then v is Laguerre-Hahn form of class $s = 0$.

Using (5) and (50), we get

$$S_n(1) = 2^n \frac{2^n}{\Gamma(\alpha + \beta + 2n + 3)} b_n(\alpha, \beta), \quad n \geq 0, \quad (53)$$

where for $n \geq 0$

$$b_n(\alpha, \beta) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\alpha} \left(\frac{\Gamma(\alpha+n+2)\Gamma(\alpha+\beta+n+2)}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)} - \frac{\Gamma(\alpha+\beta+1)\Gamma(n+2)\Gamma(\beta+n+2)}{\Gamma(\beta+1)} \right), & \alpha \neq 0, \\ \Gamma(n+2)\Gamma(n+\beta+2) \sum_{k=0}^n \left(\frac{1}{k+1} + \frac{1}{\beta+k+1} \right), & \alpha = 0. \end{cases} \quad (54)$$

From (6) and (50), we obtain by induction

$$S_n^{(1)}(1) = 2^n \frac{(\alpha + \beta + 2)^2(\alpha + \beta + 3)}{(\alpha + 1)(\beta + 1)\Gamma(\alpha + \beta + 2n + 5)} c_n(\alpha, \beta), \quad n \geq 0, \quad (55)$$

where for $n \geq 0$

$$c_n(\alpha, \beta) = \frac{(\alpha + \beta + 1)(\beta + 1)}{(\alpha + \beta + 2)} b_{n+1}(\alpha, \beta) - \frac{\Gamma(\beta + n + 3)\Gamma(\alpha + \beta + n + 3)}{\Gamma(\beta + 1)}. \quad (56)$$

By virtue of (8), (53) and (55), we deduce

$$S_n(1, \lambda) = \frac{2^n}{\Gamma(\alpha + \beta + 2n + 3)} d_n(\lambda, \alpha, \beta), \quad n \geq 0 \quad (57)$$

where for $n \geq 0$

$$d_n(\lambda, \alpha, \beta) = (\alpha + \beta + 1)b_n(\alpha, \beta) - \lambda \frac{(\alpha + \beta + 2)^2(\alpha + \beta + 3)}{2(\alpha + 1)(\beta + 1)} c_{n-1}(\alpha, \beta), \quad c_{-1}(\alpha, \beta) = 0. \quad (58)$$

Then, u is regular for every $\lambda \neq 0$ such that

$$\lambda \neq 2 \frac{(\alpha + 1)(\beta + 1)(\alpha + \beta + 1)b_n(\alpha, \beta)}{(\alpha + \beta + 3)(\alpha + \beta + 2)^2 c_{n-1}(\alpha, \beta)}, \quad n \geq 1. \quad (59)$$

(18) and (57) give

$$a_n = - \frac{2}{(\alpha + \beta + 2n + 3)(\alpha + \beta + 2n + 4)} \frac{d_{n+1}(\lambda, \alpha, \beta)}{d_n(\lambda, \alpha, \beta)}, \quad n \geq 0. \quad (60)$$

Then, with (17), we obtain for $n \geq 0$

$$\begin{cases} \gamma_1 = -\lambda, \\ \gamma_{2n+2} = - \frac{2}{(\alpha + \beta + 2n + 3)(\alpha + \beta + 2n + 4)} \frac{d_{n+1}(\lambda, \alpha, \beta)}{d_n(\lambda, \alpha, \beta)}, \\ \gamma_{2n+3} = -2 \frac{(n+2)(\alpha+n+2)(\beta+n+2)(\alpha+\beta+n+2)}{(\alpha + \beta + 2n + 4)(\alpha + \beta + 2n + 5)} \frac{d_n(\lambda, \alpha, \beta)}{d_{n+1}(\lambda, \alpha, \beta)}. \end{cases} \quad (61)$$

Taking into account that the form v is Laguerre-Hahn and by virtue of Proposition 5, the form u is also Laguerre-Hahn. It satisfies (32) and (35) with

$$\begin{cases} \tilde{\Phi}(x) = (x-1)(x^4-1), \quad \tilde{B}(x) = -8\lambda^{-1} \frac{(\alpha+\beta+1)(\alpha+1)(\beta+1)}{(\alpha+\beta+3)(\alpha+\beta+2)^2} x(x-1)^2, \\ \tilde{\Psi}(x) = -2x(x-1) \left((\alpha+\beta+4)x^2 + \frac{\alpha^2-\beta^2}{\alpha+\beta+2} - 16\lambda^{-1} \frac{(\alpha+\beta+1)(\alpha+1)(\beta+1)}{(\alpha+\beta+3)(\alpha+\beta+2)^2} \right), \end{cases} \quad (62)$$

$$\begin{cases} \tilde{C}_0(x) = -x^4 + 2x(x-1) \left((\alpha+\beta+2)x^2 + \frac{\alpha^2-\beta^2}{\alpha+\beta+2} - 16\lambda^{-1} \frac{(\alpha+\beta+1)(\alpha+1)(\beta+1)}{(\alpha+\beta+3)(\alpha+\beta+2)^2} \right) + 1, \\ \tilde{D}_0(x) = -2x \left(-(\alpha+\beta)x^2 - \frac{\alpha^2-\beta^2}{\alpha+\beta+2} + 4\lambda^{-1} \frac{(\alpha+\beta+1)(\alpha+1)(\beta+1)}{(\alpha+\beta+3)(\alpha+\beta+2)^2} + \lambda(\alpha+\beta+3) \right). \end{cases} \quad (63)$$

From (62) – (63), we have

$$\begin{cases} \Phi(0) = -1, & \Phi(1) = 0, \\ X(1) = -(\alpha + \beta + 3)\lambda^{-1} \left(\lambda + \frac{2\beta+2}{(\alpha+\beta+2)(\alpha+\beta+3)} \right) \left(\lambda + \frac{2(\alpha+1)(\beta+1)}{(\alpha+\beta+2)(\alpha+\beta+3)} \right), \\ X'(1) = \alpha + \beta + 2, & Y(1) = 2\frac{(\alpha+1)(\alpha+\beta)}{\alpha+\beta+2} - 8\lambda^{-1} \frac{(\alpha+\beta+1)(\alpha+1)(\beta+1)}{(\alpha+\beta+3)(\alpha+\beta+2)^2}. \end{cases}$$

Now it is enough to use Proposition 7 in order to obtain the following results:

- (i) If λ satisfies (13) and $\lambda \notin E = \left\{ -\frac{2\beta+2}{(\alpha+\beta+2)(\alpha+\beta+3)}, -\frac{2(\alpha+1)(\beta+1)}{(\alpha+\beta+2)(\alpha+\beta+3)} \right\}$, then the class of u is $\bar{s} = 3$.
- (ii) If $\lambda \in E$, then the class of u is $\bar{s} = 2$ since $X'(1) \neq 0$.

Now, we are going to give the elements of the structure relation of the sequence $\{Z_n\}_{n \geq 0}$.

Using (52), (61) and Proposition 8., we obtain for $n \geq 0$

$$\begin{cases} \tilde{C}_0(x) = -x^4 + 2x(x-1) \left((\alpha + \beta + 2)x^2 + \frac{\alpha^2 - \beta^2}{\alpha + \beta + 2} - 16\lambda^{-1} \frac{(\alpha+\beta+1)(\alpha+1)(\beta+1)}{(\alpha+\beta+3)(\alpha+\beta+2)^2} \right) + 1, \\ \tilde{C}_1(x) = 2x(x-1) \left((\alpha + \beta + 2)x^2 + \frac{\alpha^2 - \beta^2}{\alpha + \beta + 2} - 2\lambda(\alpha + \beta + 3) \right) + x^4 - 1, \\ \tilde{C}_{2n+2}(x) = 2x(x-1) \left((\alpha + \beta + 2n + 4)x^2 + \frac{\alpha^2 - \beta^2}{\alpha + \beta + 2n + 4} - \frac{4}{(\alpha + \beta + 2n + 4)} \frac{d_{n+1}(\lambda, \alpha, \beta)}{d_n(\lambda, \alpha, \beta)} \right) - x^4 + 1, \\ \tilde{C}_{2n+3}(x) = 2x(x-1) \left((\alpha + \beta + 2n + 4)x^2 + \frac{\alpha^2 - \beta^2}{\alpha + \beta + 2n + 4} - 4 \frac{(n+2)(\alpha+n+2)(\beta+n+2)(\alpha+\beta+n+2)}{(\alpha+\beta+2n+4)} \frac{d_n(\lambda, \alpha, \beta)}{d_{n+1}(\lambda, \alpha, \beta)} \right) + x^4 - 1, \\ \tilde{D}_0(x) = -2x \left(-(\alpha + \beta)x^2 - \frac{\alpha^2 - \beta^2}{\alpha + \beta + 2} + 4\lambda^{-1} \frac{(\alpha+\beta+1)(\alpha+1)(\beta+1)}{(\alpha+\beta+3)(\alpha+\beta+2)^2} + \lambda(\alpha + \beta + 3) \right), \\ \tilde{D}_{2n+1}(x) = 2x(x-1)^2(\alpha + \beta + 2n + 3), \\ \tilde{D}_{2n+2} = 2x \left((\alpha + \beta + 2n + 4)x^2 + \frac{\alpha^2 - \beta^2}{\alpha + \beta + 2n + 4} - 2 \frac{(n+2)(\alpha+n+2)(\beta+n+2)(\alpha+\beta+n+2)}{(\alpha+\beta+2n+4)} \frac{d_{n+1}(\lambda, \alpha, \beta)}{d_n(\lambda, \alpha, \beta)} - \frac{2}{(\alpha+\beta+2n+4)} \frac{d_n(\lambda, \alpha, \beta)}{d_{n+1}(\lambda, \alpha, \beta)} \right). \end{cases} \quad (64)$$

REFERENCES

- [1] J. ALAYA, *L'adjonction d'une masse de Dirac à une forme de Laguerre-Hahn*, Maghreb Math. Rev. 2 (6) (1997), 57-76.
- [2] J. ALAYA AND P. MARONI, *Semi-classical and Laguerre-Hahn forms defined by pseudo-functions*, Methods and Applications of Analysis, 3 (1) (1996), 12-30 .
- [3] D. BEGHDAI AND P. MARONI, *On the inverse problem of the product of a form by a polynomial*, J. Comput. Appl. Math. 88 (1997), 401-417.
- [4] A. BRANQUINHO AND F. MARCELLAN, *Generating new class of orthogonal polynomials*, Int.J.Math.Sci. 19 (1996), 643-656.
- [5] B. BOURAS AND F. MARCELLAN, *Quadratic decomposition of a Laguerre-*

- Hahn polynomial sequence I*, Bull. Belg. Math. Soc. Simon Stevin 17 (4) (2010), 641-659.
- [6] J. DINI, *Sur les formes linéaires et les polynômes orthogonaux de Laguerre-Hahn*, Thèse de l'Univ. Pierre de Marie Curie, Paris (1988).
- [7] T. S. CHIHARA, *An introduction to orthogonal polynomials*, Gordon and Breach, New York, 1978.
- [8] F. MARCELLAN AND E. PRIANES, *Perturbations of Laguerre-Hahn linear functionals*, J. Comput. Appl. Math., 105 (1999), 109-128.
- [9] P. MARONI, *Sur la décomposition quadratique d'une suite de polynômes orthogonaux*, I, Rivista di Mat. Pura ed Appl. 6 (1991) , 19-53.
- [10] P. MARONI, *Sur la suite de polynômes orthogonaux associée à la forme $u = \delta_c + \lambda(x - c)^{-1} L$* . Period. Math. Hung. 21 (3), (1990), 223-248.
- [11] M. SGHAIER AND M. ZAATRA, *A class of symmetric Laguerre-Hahn Polynomials*, Commun. Anal. Theory Contin. Fract. 17 (2010), 1-11.
- [12] M. SGHAIER AND J. ALAYA, *Orthogonal Polynomials Associated with Some Modifications of a Linear Form*, Methods Appl. Anal. 11 (2) (2004), 267-294.